

\$5-- each 5 for \$20-- 10 for \$35-- 20 for \$60-- 100 for \$250 500 for \$990



# The US Marshals

Are They Really Who They  
~~Say~~ *Believe* They Are?

Troubling information for US Marshals, IRS agents and especially county Sheriffs. *A must-read* for American citizens and anyone in law enforcement.

© 2003 Compiled & Edited By *Patrick Riot*  
2<sup>nd</sup> Edition - 3<sup>rd</sup> Printing  
*Patrick\_Riot\_2003@Juno.com*

## PREFACE

An unrebutted, certifiable historical trail of *lawful* delegation of authorities for the *US Marshal Service* leaves American citizens within the *several* States with more questions than answers.

Decades of research now conclusively demonstrate that the *US Marshal Service* has virtually no *lawful* (Constitutional) authority in any of the states of the Union. Washington DC, possessions, territories, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, some military bases, and other property *properly* ceded to the corporate United States... YES! However, NOT within the Union of the *several* States. NOT unless there's a SPECIFIC trail of lawful delegation of authorities *attached* to the attendant paperwork carried by federal law enforcement officers.

Today, 2004, in most cases where federal *police power* is being executed within the *several* States, it is being facilitated through *unwitting accommodation* by local law enforcement... *county Sheriffs*. Virtually all being accomplished without *lawful delegation of authority* under *color of law*. Why has this happened?

The information contained herein opens the door for a plethora of lawsuits aimed at a loyal group of American men and women, federal and State alike... *just for following orders*.



*"Let me issue and control a nation's money,  
...and I care not who writes its laws!"*

Meyer Rothschild, International Banker, Died 3/31/1915  
(Federal Reserve Act passes US Congress, 12/23/1913)  
6<sup>th</sup> Generation banker -- 6 more have come and gone, now 12  
generations deep and growing stronger by the day.

---

Original name *Pawer*, 1490 –then *Bauer*, 1744  
Finally changed to *Rothschild* circa 1803

---

**Law Enforcement Advisory re: Delegation**  
*Officer, you're on the line -- so do your own research!*  
**LEAD To Protect Our Constitution... don't blindly follow orders!**

Representatives in 27 states

Despite many warnings, Woodrow Wilson signed the 1913 Federal Reserve Act. Years later Wilson reportedly exclaimed:




*I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the civilized world no longer a Government by free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction*

*and the vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men. –*

Woodrow Wilson

*If the American people ever allow PRIVATE banks to control the issue of their currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks...will deprive the people of all property until their children wake-up HOMELESS on the continent their fathers conquered....*

*The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs. –*



Thomas Jefferson

## Table of Contents

1	Overview: Good Guys Are Compromised	4
2	Narrow Range of <i>Lawful</i> Authority	4
3	Chain of Command	5
4	A "State" Is Rarely A "State".	6
5	Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules	7
6	List of CFR Titles	8
7	Authority Verification	9
8	<i>Lawful</i> (Constitutional) Authority Finally Defined	11
9	The Real Definition Of <i>Public Money</i>	12
10	The US Constitution Still Rules	13
11	§ 566. Powers And Duties --- Primary Rule And Mission Of The <i>United States Marshals Service</i>	13
12	Primary Responsibility Defined (Pay attention to the <i>name</i> of the Court.)	14
13	<i>United States Marshals Service</i> vs US Marshal	14
14	Missing Links	16
15	" <i>Sheriffs Put Feds On Choke Chains</i> "	18
16	District Courts Defined	20
17	<i>United States District Courts v. District Courts</i> of the United States	21
18	US Attorney Forced to Deny IRS is an Agency of US Government	24
19	The "United States... of America" --- is a "State"?	31
20	Postscript: Why we are where we are today. Proof!	33

Note: Pages 4 through 20 of this pamphlet would not be possible without the selfless contribution of the late Dan Meador. Paul A Mitchell deserves credit for *Chapter 17, pages 21 & 22*, regards "*United States District Courts vs District Courts of the United States.*"

## Underlying Compromise of the U.S. Marshal (The Good Guys & Gals... *just follow orders.*)

One of the cruelest hoaxes ever has been perpetrated against what are generally capable, loyal Americans now employed in capacities that used to exercise legitimate (lawful) law enforcement authority of United States Government: **United States marshals and their deputies**. While entrance testing may appear rigorous to the applicants, hiring guidelines for certain of these career/vocations look for a *certain* type candidate: an *unquestioning* candidate. In many cases ex-Marines with outstanding records of *blind obedience* fit the profile. Otherwise very intelligent young men and women that don't ask questions and *just follow orders*. Candidates that continue to believe they are in the *military* when in fact it's a *civilian* position. Times are changing and these good men and women deserve **SPECIFIC** answers to questions arising from this information.

The position of *United States Marshal* was created in 1789; the U.S. marshal and his deputies had the same powers in the *several* States as the State or county sheriff, as applicable, when and if there was legitimate United States jurisdiction. However, the **United States Marshal**, as an independent office, was abolished, with the successor merged into the Department of Justice under direction of the Attorney General, by *Public Law 89-554*, § 4(c), Sept. 6, 1966, 80 Stat. 619.

This 1966 act was amended by *Public Law 95-530*, § 2, Oct. 27, 1978, 92 Stat. 2028, which related to appointment, term, and residence of *United States Marshals*, the 1978 act repealed by *Public Law 100-690*, Title VII, § 7608(a)(1), Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4512. *Public Law 100-690* governs most activity of what is now the *United States Marshals Service* in the Department of Justice.

### Narrow Range Of Lawful (Constitutional) Authority

The **United States Marshals Service**, except within the narrow range of authority vested in the *Department of Justice* and/or the Attorney General, no longer has authority under laws of the United States as they might apply to the Union of several States in the framework of Congress' general legislative authority delegated primarily in Article I § 8 of the Constitution. Sections of the

within the *several* States of the Union, *legal, color of law unlawful or otherwise*<sup>15</sup>.

This fraud is pernicious and complex. It was brilliantly conceived and cunningly executed. Information is being assembled for the first time – **forensically**. Recently, teams of American citizens have been working overtime at National Archive locations throughout the country uncovering evidence, **including a wide trail of conspicuously missing documents and records**. We expect that criminal charges will be aimed at high places in government, especially the Judiciary. The chain of *authorities* shown within can be checked and re-checked. Nothing will change, so get your *delegation of authority* **in writing!**

No one could have predicted the genius of the Internet. This booklet represents only a fraction of the facts surrounding this terrible fraud. Information is rapidly coalescing on many researcher's desk today (2004). Honest law enforcement people, loyal Americans believing in the values set down by our Founding documents, the **Declaration of Independence** and the **Constitution for the United States of America**, have in essence been the **first line of unwitting victims** of this travesty. **Good Guys & Gals** risking their lives and personal assets daily, all too many times in clear violation of Constitutional law... ***just BLINDLY following orders!***

One of the first rules of forensics is ***conspicuous absence***. Watershed events occurring in our nation's last century are ***curiously*** missing from our history books. If you're the good cop we believe you are, figure out why? There is a reason, you're surely being used and now you have some insight.

---

<sup>15</sup> More *Emergencies*, real, **manufactured**, forced and/or perceived. Look around.

elected leadership ever since. Read your headlines and convince yourself the condition of the world is just a series of random events. If you do, there's a bridge in Brooklyn waiting for your down payment.

Forward of 1933 things in government changed substantially. (See page 35, "**Declaration of Intergovernmental Dependence**".) . Our Constitution became an enemy of even those elected Americans that unwittingly participated in the 1913/33 series of catastrophic events. **The US bankruptcy had to be concealed at all costs.** The Constitution to this day remains an enemy of these swindler's descendants. Successors that continue to profit from the *unlawful* US money system. However, be aware, our Constitution has always been an enemy of those **outside our shores** that would, and did, pervert our nation. Hence, the American politician and our Constitution have since been... **compromised!** Hence, there is rarely *lawful* federal authority in the several States. Usually done under *color of law*.

In order to comply with the Bankruptcy Trustee's demands, it was then necessary for government to subtly change the **legal status of the People**. We/you/your family – the **People** became "Persons" and/or "Individuals", essentially *artificial* entities. You can read the law. Go find the references to **natural** persons. They're there. All throughout many of the 50 Titles of US law. However, why aren't these references to "**natural**" persons **everywhere you assume they exist?** Why are most references to -- "**any Person**" or -- "**any Individual**" instead of "**any natural Person**"? There's a reason. Once again that goes beyond the scope of this brief effort. If you're curious for the details, read... **1913 – The Year THEY Stole America.**

The *silent* US bankruptcy of 1933, *fully manufactured*<sup>13</sup>, set the stage for another goal: **the incremental dilution of Constitutional authority and continued diminution of States rights, unlawfully or otherwise**<sup>14</sup>. (*Unlawful* intrusion into the *several* States is why you're reading this today.) The final goal of this scheme being the gradual destruction of the core value of the Constitution over ensuing generations. (That's happening at an increased pace before our very eyes.) Hence, the need for absolute control by **Federal authority**

<sup>13</sup> Griffin "The Creature From Jekyll Island", p. 399

<sup>14</sup> *Emergencies*, real, manufactured, forced and/or perceived.

*United States Code* which reflect authority of the *U.S. Marshals Service* are at 28 U.S.C. §§ 561-569. Space will be dedicated to analysis of these sections, as applicable in the framework of this effort, because the office of *United States Marshal* was the original civil enforcement authority of the United States, where today the *United States Marshals Service* has considerably different character and jurisdiction.

## **Chain Of Command**

Basic authority, and *chain of command*, for the *United States Marshals Service* is at 28 U.S.C. §561, reproduced in relative part below:

### **§ 561. United States Marshals Service**

- (a) *There is hereby established a United States Marshals Service as a bureau within the Department of Justice under the authority and direction of the Attorney General. There shall be at the head of the United States Marshals Service (hereafter in this chapter referred to as the "Service") a Director who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.*
- (b) *The Director of the United States Marshals Service (hereafter in this chapter referred to as the "Director") shall, in addition to the powers and duties set forth in this chapter, exercise such other functions as may be delegated by the Attorney General.*
- (c) *The President shall appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, a United States marshal for each judicial district of the United States and for the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, except that any marshal appointed for the Northern Mariana Islands may at the same time serve as marshal of another judicial district. Each United States marshal shall be an official of the Service and shall serve under the direction of the Director.*

[(d) & (f) not reproduced]

- (g) *The director shall supervise and direct the United States Marshals Service in the performance of its duties.*

[(h) & (i) not reproduced]

The old *lawful* authority of the **United States Marshal** appears to be conveyed to the United States marshals at 28 U.S.C. § 564, (see below) but this is an illusion that is thoroughly dispelled by examination of *underlying authorities*:

## § 564. Powers As Sheriff

*United States marshals, deputy marshals and such other officials of the Service as may be designated by the Director, in executing the laws of the United States within a State, may exercise the same powers which a sheriff of the State may exercise in executing the laws thereof.*

## State Is NOT the Equivalent With Several States

The term "**State**" in § 564 (shown above) is all-important as the reference is exclusively to possessions, insular or otherwise, of the corporation known as the United States. The term State in § 564, contrary to lay America's belief, does **NOT** refer to the *Union of several States*. This conclusion will be demonstrated in due course. The use of this deceptive definitional device appears to have accelerated by law writing attorneys in government when the *US Federal Reserve Act* was signed into law 12/23/1913.

The original *US Federal Reserve Act* was cleverly worded to rapidly become, through carefully *afore-planned amendments*, a fully 100% UNLAWFUL (unconstitutional) money scheme<sup>1</sup>. It evolved into a *legal* [do not confuse with *lawful*] monopoly for the benefit of a few hiding behind the guise of promoting the public interest. Nothing could be further from the truth.<sup>2</sup>

The deceptive *definitional device*, "State", has been in full bloom since the subsequent *silent* bankruptcy of the corporate United States under FDR in March of 1933. (Didn't know that did you?) Don't let anyone, attorney or otherwise, trivialize this pamphlet for that statement. Not all, but many of them know of this National bankruptcy. It was far more than a "Great Depression" and it's been a well concealed secret for almost three (3) generations. This undeclared bankruptcy is at the root of many of our current challenges as a nation. It is here in 1933 that the fraud picks up speed and local law enforcement authorities throughout the *several States* have been routinely deceived ever since.

---

<sup>1</sup> William Greider, *Secrets of the Temple*, (New York, Simon and Schuster, 1987), p.274, 275 also Gabriel Kolko, *The Triumph of Conservatism* (New York: The Free Press of Glencoe, a division of the Macmillan Co., 1963), p.140.

<sup>2</sup> Sutton, *Wall Street and F.D.R.*, p. 94

career! It's also the reason that the appointment process to the Federal Bench is so closely guarded and funneled through such narrow control. Who's kidding who?

Every person reading this should ask, "...could the information contained herein about the laws surrounding the **absolute limitation of lawful authority in the several States** be a lawmaking anomaly? Could proper and citable **lawful delegation of authorities** been overlooked? Does the word "**State**" really have hidden, deceptive **dual meanings**?" While anything is possible when it comes to clever bought and paid for attorneys writing law for government, we believe that the answer is a resounding... **NO!** Law may be many things but one thing is absolute --- it must be -- and contrary to what you've read here, is -- **highly specific**. It is specific. Just that it does not mean what you believe it means. The poor average American just doesn't know how to cipher the "Code". We're confident that the authorities cited in this small pamphlet are at once exhaustive and conclusive. Given that -- then **why** has this happened? Now we enter the illusory realm of "...what we don't know **can't** harm us."

Circa 1933, during the *undeclared* and *silent* bankruptcy of the corporate United States there was a **panic** in Congress. (Yes the nation actually suffered a *quiet* bankruptcy.) Many in Congress, those that were in high places and knew the specifics of the fraud, suffered from a **panic of fear**. They feared that the American **People** would learn that only 20 short years earlier, 1913, they formed what was *ostensibly* a **lawful** money system in the form of the **US Federal Reserve** and now... **bankruptcy**. They feared that **the people** would learn that the US Federal Reserve was nothing like what they were sold. As it evolved, **by plan**, the **US Federal Reserve** became 100% privately owned, allegedly with a government appointed **Board of Governors**. Cosmetically yes, but unfortunately this turned out to be merely a ruse. (See page 33, 2<sup>nd</sup> quote of Henry Gonzalez.) Also according to plan, the nation was **FORCED** into a bankruptcy<sup>11</sup>. The nation *silently* declared so under FDR in March/June 1933<sup>12</sup>.

Because of Constitutional limits, (thank God) bday's monetary and legal system is a patchwork of band-aids and bailing wire. **Hidden hands**, the nation's **foreign creditors**, have been *leveraging* the silent bankruptcy and National Debt as control over much of our

---

<sup>11</sup> Read "**1913 ---The Year THEY Stole America**", Patrick Riot (To be released late 2004)

<sup>12</sup> HJR 192

It is hoped that the information contained herein will motivate federal law enforcement officers, county Sheriff's, local public officials and Americans at large to understand that certain events have taken place over the recent generations that require inquiry. Even-tempered questioning. Questioning until a satisfactory honest answer is received from officials that have the credentials and are willing to assume responsibility... *in writing!*

All too often law enforcement officers assume that because a town attorney says OK or a federal judge has signed a piece of paper the responsibility is with *that* party. It appears to be *conventional wisdom*. That's not the case. Personal liability for the local law enforcement officer doing the dirty work is only as far away as the next informed citizen. Citizens are being informed daily.

Note regards to Page 32 "Detainers Agreement Act": Congress enacts two categories of Public Law – laws of the United States AND Acts of Congress. The former applies to the *several* States of the Union where the latter applies to *territories* and *possessions* of the corporation known as the United States: usually defined in most Titles of US Laws as... "States". These two categories of laws are recognized by distinctive use in 28 U.S.C. § 1366:

§ 1366. Construction of references to laws of the United States or Acts of Congress

*For the purposes of this chapter [28 USCS § § 1331 et seq.], references to laws of the United States or Acts of Congress do not include laws applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia.*

The "Detainers Agreement" is an "Act of Congress" and as such, relates only, unless SPECIFICALLY stated otherwise, to *territories* and *possessions* of the federal corporation known as the United States. Hence the missing word **several** in front of the word "States" and rightfully so.

How many honest hard working law enforcement people, much less Americans at large (non-lawyers), know about these shrewd deceptive definitional devices hidden behind the all encompassing term -- "*statutory construction*"? Good men and women in law enforcement are at risk every day because of the written law. Why don't the words in our laws mean what we've been led to believe they mean? When **words are cheap to print** why is this confusion/deception memorialized into written law? And yes – judges know all of this. That is why judges go to "school" at *certain* levels of their Judiciary

Clearly, some attorneys knowingly aid and abet the deception. This may be due to a variety of things. Possibly a misguided allegiance to their respective State Bar – which is responsive to the ABA -- which in turn enjoys a 501(c)3 non-profit status from the IRS. The IRS, by the way, has finally been exposed as **NOT** an Agency of the United States government. (See page 24. Just who are these IRS guys anyhow?) Then again, many attorneys, private as well as government, just don't know about the deceptive dual use of the word "**State**". In either case it's the attorney, alleged guardians of our law, that has placed the law enforcement people who work on the street at great risk.

### Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules

The first check on *lawful* authority for the *US Marshal* is to consult the *Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules*, which begins on page 721 of the Index volume of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, 1996 edition. If there were implementing regulations for 28 U.S.C. §§ 561-569, they would be on page 768. However, these sections of the *United States Code* are **NOT** listed, so there are **ZERO** applicable delegations of authority or implementing regulations for any of the sections. However, there are regulations applicable under two of the three *Public Laws* listed above, the first being *Public Law* 89-544 (1966): On page 818 of the 1996 *CFR Index* volume, it is found that sections of the 1966 law not repealed by subsequent acts are under the implementing regulation at 32 CFR § 716.

### List of CFR Titles, Chapters, Subchapters, and Parts

By referencing the *List of CFR Titles, Chapters, Subchapters, and Parts*, beginning on page 831 of the 1996 Index, it is found that Title 32 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* pertains to National Defense, and § 716 is in Chapter VI -- Department of the Navy (Parts 700-799); § 716 is in Subchapter C -- Personnel, and § 716 specifies a "*Death Gratuity*."

Here we can demonstrate how the Code is convoluted, as authority of the Attorney General, and the *Federal Bureau of Investigation* is also under **Public Law** 89-544, found at 28 U.S.C. § 535, part of which is reproduced elsewhere in this discourse. It is reproduced in its entirety below:

**§ 535. Investigation of crimes involving Government officers and employees; Limitations.**

- (a) *The Attorney General and the Federal Bureau of Investigation may investigate any violation of title 18 involving Government officers and employees --*
- (1) *notwithstanding any other provision of law; and*
  - (2) *without limiting the authority to investigate any matter which is conferred on them or on a department or agency of the Government.*
- (b) *Any information, allegation, or complaint received in a department or agency of the executive branch of the Government relating to violations of title 18 involving Government officers and employees shall be expeditiously reported to the Attorney General by the head of the department or agency, unless*
- (1) *the responsibility to perform an investigation with respect thereto is specifically assigned otherwise by another provision of law; or*
  - (2) *as to any department or agency of the Government, the Attorney General directs otherwise with respect to a specified class of information, allegation, or complaint.*
- (c) *This section does not limit --*
- (1) *the authority of the military departments to investigate persons or offenses over which the armed forces have jurisdiction under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (chapter 47 of title 10); or*
  - (2) *the primary authority of the Postmaster General to investigate postal offenses.*

Obviously, **Public Law** 89-554 has **intra-governmental** application, it does **NOT** apply to the *Union* of several States and the population at large. This is verified by consulting the *Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules*, at page 767 of the 1996 CFR Index volume: 28 U.S.C. § 535 is not listed. Therefore, the only general application for **Public Law** 89-554, whether at 28 U.S.C. §§ 535, 561, or any other section of the *United States Code*, is limited to 32 CFR § 716. The exception is that heads of departments of United States government may promulgate intradepartmental regulations as pertains to their respective departments under authority of 5 U.S.C. § 301.

details of this crime today. Charges will be sought against those today that continue to ignore their oaths of office and responsibilities. Don't you be one of them!

One last point. The trustee/reciever of the United States in bankruptcy, whoever that may be (we've not identified this entity yet, possibly the United States of America, which in fact may be owned by the foreign creditor – the judges do know) wanted to be paid... **or collateralized**. **Buried** within a strange/curious **Senate Resolution** dated April 1933 – the same year, a Resolution *authorizing* the “printing” of a document named “**Contracts Payable In Gold**” is a phrase totally alien to what we Americans believe about property: Could this be another missing link?

---

**"The ultimate ownership of all property is in the State; individual so-called "ownership" is only by virtue of Government, i.e., law, amounting to mere user; and that use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State**

**Senate Miscellaneous Documents, 73rd Congress, 1<sup>st</sup> Session, Senate Document #43, “Contracts Payable In Gold”; Senate Resolution #62, April 1933, Congressional Record, volume 77, part 2, pg. 2064.**

---

You're the cops. You be the judge of just what that means, especially given the pressure that certain politicians were faced with at the time. Collateralize the debt or be exposed. Just who owns your property? The “**State**”? I thought that's what Communism believed. When were we ever taught this in school?

This booklet was originally designed, assembled, edited and compiled for distribution to federal and State officials. However, an unexpected larger demand has recently surfaced; *the American citizen*. The first printing was 17,000. This printing will be +/- 120,000. While apathy is fatal, time gains perspective. Have you gained yours? **What can you do?** Easy, obey the law and demand proof of *lawful* authority.

collaborating 12 Reserve Center banks. The bankruptcy would be resting solely upon the People. This eventuality was absolutely prohibited by the US Constitution by exclusion. Congress had no power to do such a thing. The fruits of a bankruptcy of this magnitude, if discovered by *The People*, would no doubt include public lynching of most of Washington, DC. Hence a panic of fear. A panic of fear that compromised the entire Congress. A panic that compromised the Congress into allowing a fraud to take place... *for the good of the nation*. Or so many a politician's conscience rationalized.

*This is the point of impact! This is "Ground Zero"!* This is where Congress had a disastrous disconnect with what was *lawful* (Constitutional) and what could be *legally* (procedurally) done to a free *People* by clever swindlers and their conniving *paid-and-bought-for-attorneys* in government. This is why YOU, the federal law enforcement officer, has ZERO *lawful* delegation of authority when you enter any of the *several* States and YOU, the county Sheriffs, will never receive a lawful delegation of authority. You, we... *we all have been screwed by bought-and-paid-for-attorneys and crooked politicians*.

This is where we see that clever law writers have been using the word '*State*' in a deceptive duality of meanings ever since. The laws concerning this specific issue can never be LAWFULLY written with clarity, lest they expose the fraud upon the Constitution you've just learned. Hence federal law enforcement, with rare exception, must operate *under color of law* within the *several* States. **The days of the accommodating county Sheriff are rapidly coming to an end. Ladies and gentlemen... show me your papers!!**

To be sure this happened to a sleeping American population. Unfortunately, we elect a preponderance of attorneys to public office and not enough butchers, bakers and candlestick makers. That much scheming intellect, that much opportunity for self aggrandizement, assembled in one body politic as a collective majority among all other disciplines and the United States Constitution, not to mention *the People*, never had a chance. Abuses will always develop under these conditions. Unfortunately this was our nation. However, all is not lost. Tens of thousands of loyal Americans, many in law enforcement, are pouring over the

## AUTHORITY VERIFICATION

Using this authority verification, we will consider authority of the *United States Marshals Service* for 28 U.S.C. §§ 561-569 under the 1988 act, **Public Law** 100-690, found in the *Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules* in the 1996 CFR Index volume at page 822. In order to simplify matters, the *Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules*-cited regulations are listed at the bullet, then the regulation is described from the List of *CFR Titles, Chapters, Subchapters, and Parts* immediately thereafter. The authority will be in that order: CFR title; subtitle, where applicable; chapter; subchapter, where applicable; and part.

- **7 CFR § 3017 Agriculture**; Chapter XXX-- Office of Finance and Management, Department of Agriculture (Parts 3000 -- 3099); Governmentwide Department and suspension (non-procurement) and governmentwide requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **10 CFR § 1036 Energy**; Chapter X -- Department of Energy (General Provisions) (Parts 1000-1099); Governmentwide debarment and suspension (non-procurement) and governmentwide requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **12 CFR § 516 Banks and Banking**; Chapter V -- Office of Thrift Supervision, Department of the Treasury (Parts 500-599); Application processing guidelines and procedures.
- **13 CFR § 145 Business Credit and Assistance**; Chapter I -- Small Business Administration (Parts 1-199); Governmentwide debarment and suspension (non-procurement) and governmentwide requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **14 CFR § 1265 Aeronautics and Space**; Chapter V -- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Parts 1200-1299); Governmentwide debarment and suspension (non-procurement) and governmentwide requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **21 CFR § 1316 Food and Drugs**; Chapter II -- Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice (Parts 1300-1399); Administrative functions, practices, and procedures.
- **22 CFR § 51 Foreign Relations**; Chapter I -- Department of State (Parts 1-199); Subchapter F -- Nationality and Passports; Passports.

- **22 CFR § 137 Foreign Relations**; Chapter I -- Department of State (Parts 1-199); Subchapter N -- Miscellaneous; **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **22 CFR § 310 Foreign Relations**; Chapter III -- Peace Corps (300-399); **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **22 CFR § 1006 Foreign Relations**; Chapter X -- Inter-American Foundation (Parts 1000-1099); **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **28 CFR § 32 Judicial Administration**; Chapter I -- Department of Justice (Parts 0-199); Public Safety Officers' death and disability benefits.
- **28 CFR § 67 Judicial Administration**; Chapter I -- Department of Justice (Parts 0-199); **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **29 CFR § 98 Labor**; Subtitle A -- Office of the Secretary of Labor (Parts 0-99); **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **29 CFR § 1471 Labor**; Subtitle B -- Regulations Relating to Labor; Chapter XII -- Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service (Parts 1400-1499); **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **31 CFR § 19 Money and Finance**; Treasury; Subtitle A -- Office of the Secretary of the Treasury (Parts 0-50); **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).
- **33 CFR § 1 Navigation and Navigable Waters**; Chapter 1 -- Coast Guard, Department of Transportation (Parts 1-199); General provisions.
- **36 CFR § 1209 Parks, Forests, and Public Property**; Chapter XII -- National Archives and Records Administration (Parts 1200-1299); Subchapter A -- General Rules; **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension

*Revolution*<sup>7</sup> and in 1917 when we were drawn into *WWI* were brilliant distractions in the middle of this tidal wave of American history. In spite of this confusion, McFadden's knowledge of the Fed's details was relatively complete. However, what he didn't understand in his last paragraph quoted above, was that the bankruptcy of the US Federal Reserve, in fact **WAS** the bankruptcy of the corporation known as the United States, our government. (McFadden also didn't know that the bankruptcy was planned by those outside our shores from **before** the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.<sup>8</sup>) Unfortunately it gets worse, much worse.

Only a few years earlier Paul Warburg, from Germany & barely in the US long enough to catch a cold, noted author of the essential technical wording of the US Federal Reserve Act, (how curious,) acknowledged that:

*“While technically and legally the Federal Reserve note is an obligation of the United States Government, in reality it is an obligation, the sole actual responsibility for which rests on the reserve banks.... **The government could only be called upon to them up after the reserve banks had failed**”<sup>9</sup>.*

*Warburg's explanation should be carefully analyzed. It is an incredibly important statement. **The man who masterminded the Federal Reserve System** is telling us the Federal Reserve notes constitute privately issued money **with taxpayers standing by to cover the potential losses of those banks which issue it**<sup>10</sup>.*

Contrary to what Congress was led to believe 20 short years earlier, the *impossible-now-reality* failure of the US Federal Reserve would **NOT** rest on a *lawful* (Constitutional.) outcome. The bankruptcy of the Fed would **NOT** be borne by the

<sup>7</sup> Part of the Russian Revolution was in fact financed indirectly by the US Federal Reserve, hence the American taxpayer. Knowing this will say volumes about the motives of those that owned and controlled, then **and now**, the **US Federal Reserve**.

<sup>8</sup> Details, facts and **recently uncovered verifiable evidence** regards the *premeditation* of the 1933 US bankruptcy will be demonstrated in, **“1913 --- The Year THEY Stole America”**.

<sup>9</sup> Warburg, Paul. *The Federal Reserve System: Its Origin and Growth*. New York: Macmillan, 1930. Vol. I.

<sup>10</sup> Griffin, *“The Creature From Jekyll Island”*, pp. 466, 467.

\$1,300,000,000 in gold to their foreign employers, their foreign masters, and every dollar of that gold belonged to the people of these United States and was **unlawfully** taken from them."

"Roosevelt cast his lot with the usurers. "He agreed to save the corrupt and dishonest **at the expense of the people of the United States.**

"**He took advantage of the people's confusion and weariness** and spread the dragnet over the United States to capture everything of value that was left in it. He made a great haul for the International Bankers.

"At noon on the 4th of March, 1933, **FDR** with his hand on the Bible, **took an oath to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the U.S.** At midnight on the 5th of March, 1933, **he confiscated the property of American citizens.** He took the currency of the United States standard of value. He repudiated the internal debt of the Government to its own citizens. He destroyed the value of the American dollar. He released, or endeavored to release, the Fed from their contractual liability to redeem Fed currency in gold or lawful money on a parity with gold. He depreciated the value of the national currency.

"This evil institution has impoverished **and ruined the people of these United States**, has bankrupted itself, and has practically bankrupted our Government. It has done this through the defects of the law under which it operates, through the maladministration of that law by the Fed and through the corrupt practices of the moneyed vultures who control it.

**Representative Louis T. McFadden<sup>6</sup>**

**Chairman House Banking and Currency Committee**

(If anyone knew what happened in 1933 McFadden did.)

---

Congressman McFadden almost had it understood... **almost.** Given that everything had happened within the span of a mere 20 years. In 1913, the Federal Reserve Act, with the 1917 **Russian**

---

<sup>6</sup> On May 23<sup>rd</sup> 1933, Representative McFadden brought formal charges of **Conspiracy, Fraud, Unlawful Conversion and Treason** against the **Federal Reserve Board**. The subsequent **Petition for Articles of Impeachment** was referred to the **Judiciary Committee**. **It has yet to be acted upon!** (McFadden's instincts were good, his targeting skills however, were poor. As you read this brief pamphlet, appropriate targets for these charges are being carefully selected, possibly a superior.)

(nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).

- **44 CFR § 17 Emergency Management and Assistance;** Chapter I -- Federal Emergency Management Agency (Parts 0-399); Subchapter A -- General; **Governmentwide** debarment and suspension (nonprocurement) and **governmentwide** requirements for drug-free workplace (grants).

### **LAWFUL AUTHORITY FINALLY DEFINED**

Primary concern of the *U.S. Marshals Service* is **governmentwide** debarment and suspension, and **governmentwide** requirements for a drug-free workplace, as applicable. There is very little more of significance that can be applicable to the *Union of several States* party to the Constitution, and the general population. However, the *U.S. Marshals Service* has essentially the same authority as a state civil enforcement agency in the **District of Columbia** and **insular possessions** of the federal corporation known as the United States. This is generally the case for Federal civil enforcement agencies, including the FBI, DEA, IRS, BATF, U.S. Customs Service, and in peacetime, the Coast Guard.

### **PUBLIC MONEY**

The question as to why the office of the *U.S. Marshal* was convoluted is reasonably easy to demonstrate, as the *U.S. Marshals Service* deals in "**public money**", per 28 U.S.C. § 567:

#### **§ 567. Collection Of Fees; Accounting**

(a) *Each United States marshal shall collect, as far as possible, his lawful fees and account for the same as public moneys.*

As used in § 567, the term "**public money**" is a word of art. It doesn't mean what it would appear to mean to the unschooled reader. *Public money* is predicated on **obligations** of the United States, **NOT** lawful coin of the United States. In other words, under provisions of § 567, the *U.S. Marshals Service* is one of the key agencies through which private assets **OUTSIDE** LAWFUL jurisdiction of the United States, as United States government now operates, are converted as though they belonged to the federal corporation known as the United States to begin with... *which is hardly the case.*

The convoluted *money system* is beyond the scope of the present effort, but those who would like to pursue the matter further should read 31 CFR §§ 202-215 as these regulations, the first of which apply to **National Banking Associations** and other **Federal Reserve-**member financial institutions qualifying as *Federal Tax and Loan Depositories*, have an excellent definitive statement concerning "*public money*" -- only *officers* and *employees* of the federal corporation known as the United States and United States *political subdivisions* are entitled to receive "*public money*".

### **Public money is EXCLUSIVE of the Federal Reserve Note**

The only law found to date which makes the ***Federal Reserve Note*** a lawful "currency" is the ***Uniform Commercial Code***, "adopted" by legislatures of each of the *several States* by the time ***Public Law*** 89-554 was promulgated in 1966. By the early 1970s, *curiously*, the ***Federal Reserve Note*** was **NOT** acceptable for payment of taxes legitimately due the federal corporation known as the United States. The 31 CFR regulations cited above also provide reasonably complete listings of people subject to State and Federal "income" taxes, a/k/a "normal" tax.

## **US Constitution Still Rules**

The Constitution has **never been amended** regards the *money clauses*. Even today it still prescribes gold and silver coin as the *lawful national currency*. The key provisions are as follows: [Art. I § 8.5] "*[The Congress shall have Power] To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin [and, at § 8.6] To provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States...*" then at § 10.1, the door is closed by the following: "*No State shall ... make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts...*" The ***Federal Reserve Act*** as has been amended is clearly *unlawful* (unconstitutional.)

Therein is the crux of the matter: Congress may do as Congress pleases, **within the framework of international law**, but only with respect to *admiralty* and *maritime jurisdiction*, *territories* and *insular possessions* of the federal corporation known as the United States deemed as *territory* or otherwise subject to sovereignty of the corporate United States under Article IV § 3.2, and with rules and

*Treasury and to the people themselves. They used the farms and the homes of the United States to pay for their thievery! That is the only national emergency that there has been here since the depression began.*

*"The week before the bank holiday was declared in New York State, **the deposits in the New York savings banks were greater than the withdrawals. There were no runs on New York Banks. There was no need of a bank holiday in New York, or of a national holiday.***

*"Roosevelt [FDR] did what the International Bankers **ordered him to do!***

*"He is preparing to **internationalize this Country and to destroy our Constitution itself** (See page 36 and the comments of Representative of Marjorie Holt in 1976, 42 years later.) **in order to keep the Fed intact as a money institution for foreigners.** "Mr. Chairman, I see no reason why citizens of the United States should be terrorized into surrendering their property to the International Bankers who own and control the Fed. The statement that gold would be taken from its lawful owners if they did not voluntarily surrender it, to private interests, show that there is an anarchist in our Government.*

*"The statement that it is necessary for the people to give their gold- the only real money- to the banks in order to protect the currency, **is a statement of calculated dishonesty!***

*"By his **unlawful** usurpation of power on the night of March 5, 1933, and by his proclamation, which in my opinion was in violation of the Constitution of the United States, Roosevelt divorced the currency of the United States from gold, and the United States currency is no longer protected by gold. It is therefore sheer dishonesty to say that the people's gold is needed to protect the currency.*

*"Roosevelt ordered the people to **give their gold to private interests**- that is, to banks, and he took control of the banks so that all the gold and gold values in them, or given into them, might be handed over to the **predatory International Bankers who now own and control the US Federal Reserve.***

*The Fed lately conducted an anti-hoarding campaign here. They took that extra money which they had persuaded the American people to put into the banks- they sent it to Europe- along with the rest. In the last several months, they have sent*

"Some people think the Federal Reserve Banks are U.S. government institutions. They are **NOT** government institutions. They are **private credit monopolies** which prey upon the people of the U.S. **for the benefit of themselves and their FOREIGN and domestic swindlers**, and rich and predatory money lenders."

"These twelve **private credit monopolies** were deceitfully and disloyally foisted upon this Country **by the bankers who came here from Europe** and repaid us our hospitality by **undermining our American institutions**. Those bankers took money out of this Country to **finance Japan in a war against Russia**. They **created a reign of terror in Russia** with our money in order to help that war along. They instigated the separate peace between Germany and Russia, and **thus drove a wedge between the allies in World War**. They **financed Trotsky's passage from New York to Russia so that he might assist in the destruction of the Russian Empire**. They **fomented and instigated the Russian Revolution**, and **placed a large fund of American dollars at Trotsky's disposal in one of their branch banks in Sweden**

"On April 27, 1932, the Fed outfit sent **\$750,000 belonging to American bank depositors in gold to Germany**. A week later another **\$300,000 in gold was shipped to Germany**. About the middle of May **\$12,000,000 in gold was shipped to Germany by the Fed**. Almost every week there is a shipment of gold to Germany. These shipments are not made for profit on the exchange since the German marks are blow parity with the dollar.

---

**Congressman McFadden on the Federal Reserve Corporation Makes Further Remarks in Congress, 1934**

**AN ASTOUNDING EXPOSURE**

There was no national emergency here when Franklin D. Roosevelt took office **excepting the bankruptcy of the Fed - a bankruptcy which has been going on under cover for several years and which has been concealed from the people so that the people would continue to permit their bank deposits and their bank reserves and their gold and the funds of the United States Treasury to be impounded in these bankrupt institutions.**

"**Under cover**, the predatory International Bankers have been stealthily transferring the burden of the Fed debts to the people's

regulations pertaining to government of the United States. (see 5 U.S.C. § 301 pertaining to regulations for government departments; authority for presidential executive orders at 3 U.S.C. § 301; and the *Federal Register Act*, at 44 U.S.C. §§ 1501 et seq., for publishing requirements and exemptions; 44 U.S.C. § 1510 as authority for the *Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules*, the CFR listing, etc.)

For a reality check, we'll examine powers and duties of *U.S. Marshals who are now part of the U.S. Marshals Service* rather than serving in their respective independent offices:

**§ 566. Powers And Duties**

(a) *It is the primary rule and mission of the United States Marshals Service to provide for the security and to obey, execute, and enforce all orders of the "United States District Courts", the United States Courts of Appeals and the Court of International Trade...*

Compare authority of the *U.S. Marshals Service* to authority for appointing probation officers, at 18 U.S.C. § 3602:

**3602. Appointment Of Probation Officers**

(a) *Appointment. - A "district court of the United States" shall appoint qualified persons to serve, with or without compensation, as probation officers within the jurisdiction and under the direction of the court making the appointment. The court may, for cause, remove a probation officer appointed to serve with compensation, and may, in its discretion, remove a probation officer appointed to serve without compensation.*

**PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY DEFINED**

The chief responsibility of the *United States Marshals Service* is to attend orders of "*United States District Courts*"; the probation officer is appointed by [the judge or judges of] a "*district court of the United States*". While the difference between the nomenclature of these two entities at first appears specious, these are two distinctly different courts<sup>3</sup>. As such these two courts have substantially different jurisdictions. One does **NOT** have the same "venue" (territorial jurisdiction) as the other, save as might be applicable **to elected and**

---

<sup>3</sup> For more complete and absolute reference see page 21.

appointed officers and employees of the United States. Uninformed Americans are routinely brought before these courts by unwitting law enforcement officers under *color of law*, usually facilitated by unwitting county law enforcement officials. Many, not all, attorneys know but will not speak up. However, when asked for **written** authorities that absorbs 100% of the personal liability to themselves, these local State and/or federal attorneys disappear like roaches in sunlight, sometimes behind a barrage of intimidating and career threatening comments.

## **US Marshals Service vs US Marshal**

The *United States Marshals Service*, as opposed to the independent office of the *United States Marshal* **prior to 1966**, is essentially territorial so far as jurisdiction is concerned. Where what amount to regulations determining conduct and powers of the U.S. *Marshal* are now promulgated by the *Director of the United States Marshals Service*, regulations governing conduct of the probation officer are promulgated by the *Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts*. **Regulations governing conduct of both have effectively been hidden**, but the fact that the *United States Marshals Service* carries out orders of *United States District Courts* rather than *district courts of the United States* is a determining factor.

We have another revealing opinion by a *United States District Court* judge in *Eastern Metals Corporation v. Martin*, 191 F. Supp. 245 (1960):

A **United States District Court** is an "inferior" court, i.e., inferior to the *United States Supreme Court*. The *District Court* **is a tribunal** created by Congress under the power given to Congress by Article 1, Section 8, Clause 9, of the *United States Constitution*, which provides that Congress shall have power "to constitute Tribunals inferior to the supreme Court". *Romero v. International Terminal Operating Co.*, 358 U.S. 354, 79 S.Ct. 468, 3 L.Ed. 368 [1959]. The creation and composition of the **United States District Courts** is presently set forth in Title 28 U.S.C. Sec. 132. A **United States District Court** has only such jurisdiction as the Congress confers upon the court.

The general jurisdiction of *United States District Courts* is set forth in Title 28 U.S.C. Chap. 85 (Secs. 1331 to 1360). Other statutes, not pertinent, confer jurisdiction on the *District Courts* in certain types of

*freedom by embracing slave masters; let us not betray self-government with world government; let us celebrate Jefferson and Madison, **NOT** Marx and Lenin."*

---

Lastly, let's go back to Congress at the time of the *silent* bankruptcy, circa 1932 –1934, 42 years earlier than the last commentary and compare the evidence. Even those that knew that times were desperate, didn't know that we were *formally* bankrupt. Suspected --yes, but to admit that the US was even close to bankruptcy was almost too much to bear. However, it did not stop some from speaking out against **what was cunningly done to a great nation**.

---

## **<http://home.hiwaay.net/~becraft/mcfadden.html> Congressman McFadden (1932/34) On the Federal Reserve Corporation**

Quotations from several speeches made on the Floor of the **House of Representatives** by the Honorable **Louis T. McFadden** of Pennsylvania. **Mr. McFadden**, due to his having **served as Chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee for more than 10 years**, was the best posted man on these matters in America and was in a position to speak with authority about the *silent* bankruptcy. (As you'll soon see it wasn't so silent then. Funny thing happened however when our current history books were written. As Representative of a State which was among the first to declare its freedom from foreign money tyrants it is fitting that Pennsylvania, the cradle of liberty, be again given the credit for producing a son that was not afraid to hurl defiance in the face of the money-bund. Whereas Mr. McFadden was elected to the high office on both the Democratic and Republican tickets, there can be no accusation of partisanship lodged against him. Because these speeches are set out in full in the *Congressional Record*, they carry weight that no amount of condemnation on the part of private individuals could hope to carry.

---

**75th Congressional Record, pgs 12595-12603, address to the House on June 10, 1932, McFadden Excerpts:**

America<sup>5</sup>. Attorneys understand the value of each word --- rarely the public.]

...on January 30 in Congress Hall, adjacent to Independence Hall in Philadelphia.

A number of Members of Congress have been invited to sign this document, **lending their prestige to its theme**, but I want the record to show **my strong opposition to this Declaration**.

**It calls for the surrender of our national sovereignty to INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS.** It declares that our economy **should be regulated by INTERNATIONAL AUTHORITIES.** It proposes that we enter a **"New World Order" that would redistribute the wealth created by the American people.**

Mr. Speaker, this is an **obscenity** that defiles our **Declaration of Independence**, signed 200 years ago in Philadelphia. We fought a great Revolution for independence and individual liberty, **but now it is proposed that we participate in a world socialist order.**

Are we a proud and free people, or are we a carcass to be picked by the jackals of the world, who want to destroy us? When one cuts through the high-flown rhetoric of this **"Declaration of Interdependence,"** one finds key phrases that tell the story.

For example, it states that **'The economy of all nations is a seamless web, and that no one nation can any longer effectively maintain its processes of production and monetary systems without recognizing the necessity for collaborative regulation by INTERNATIONAL authorities.'** How do you like the idea of **"INTERNATIONAL AUTHORITIES"** controlling our production and our monetary system, Mr. Speaker?

**How could any American dedicated to our national independence and freedom tolerate such an idea?** America should never subject her fate to decisions by such an assembly, **unless we long for national suicide.** Instead, let us have independence and freedom . . . If we surrender our independence to a **"new world order"** . . . we will be betraying our historic ideals of freedom and self-government. **Freedom and self-government are not outdated.** The fathers of our Republic fought a revolution for those ideals, **which are as valid today as they ever were.** Let us not betray

actions. On this motion we are concerned with Section 1332 of Title 28 U.S.C. -- the Diversity of Citizenship section, in particular subdivision (a)(1) of that section, relating to actions between citizens of different states.

To test the ruling, and establish the point at issue, we'll examine § 1332 in relative part:

**§ 1332. Diversity Of Citizenship; Amount In Controversy; Costs**

(a) The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs, and is between –

a. (1) citizens of different States;

[b] through (c) not reproduced]

(d) The word "States", as used in this section, **INCLUDES** the Territories, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The good judge in *Eastern Metals Corporation v. Martin* disclosed that the *United States District Court* is a legislative court, NOT an Article III judicial court; 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) confirms that this section of Title 28 is applicable EXCLUSIVELY in the *District of Columbia* and *insular possessions* subject to sovereignty of the federal corporation known as the United States by virtue of the *territorial* clause in the Constitution. The *United States District Court* is not only a *legislative* court, it must of necessity be a *territorial* court. Therefore, while the ruling in *Eastern Metals* is accurate, it is incomplete by omission.

## **MISSING LINKS**

By supplying what is missing from the decision, we are able to demonstrate that the *United States Marshals Service* now functions primarily in the *District of Columbia* and *insular possessions* of the corporate United States. So far as the several States, the **sovereign States, party to the Constitution** are concerned, the *U.S. Marshals Service* operates on the Attorney General's coattails, per 28 U.S.C. § 535, and as such has authority relating **ONLY to officers and employees of United States government.**

Per *The United States Government Manual*, 1996/97 edition, the *Director of the United States Marshals Service* is or, depending on the

<sup>5</sup> G. Edward Griffin, *"The Creature From Jekyll Island"*, Chapter 2, *The Name of the Game is Bailout*, pp. 25–65. American Media, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 12<sup>th</sup> Printing.

date you are reading this, was, Eduardo Gonzalez; headquarters of the Service is at 600 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, VA 22202-4210, Phone (202) 307-9065. Intragovernmental regulations governing conduct of the Service have not yet been secured, but are presumed to be those listed above. Anyone wishing to independently secure rules and regulations promulgated by the Director should contact the Mr. Gonzalez or his successor.

---

---

**Officers --- do your own research. Don't permit a disinterested, uninformed or misleading attorney's dismissive response about federal paperwork "...don't worry about it, they have jurisdiction", place you in harms way.**

**It's ultimately your responsibility. Either demand from the attendant federal law enforcement officers or have the County or State attorney clearly provide in writing, the complete trail of credible and verifiable LAWFUL delegation of authorities.**

**Regards the IRS, well, as you read forward and understand that they're not even an "Agency" of the US government, you'll have to demand the same or greater from them.**

**Be demanding -- or you're the one that'll wind up in court.**

make the government approved teaching syllabus for another generation or two.

The ***Declaration of Intergovernmental Dependence***, along with ***versions*** signed in 1935 and 1976, (***1976 version morphed into a different title, see below***) laid the institutional foundation for what today is formally known as **Cooperative Federalism** (New York vs. United States, et al (1992) 505 U.S. \_\_, 120 L.Ed.2d 120, 112 S.Ct. 2408), a system that employs a **third, HIDDEN government tier** as the vehicle by which public servants of all stripes operate **OUTSIDE and BEYOND powers enumerated in applicable constitutions.**

This document was earth shattering in importance. However, we and our children curiously have never been taught about this in any of our schooling. Those behind this incremental fraud understand that generations need to pass. The people need be acclimated to the *new order* of things before they can be told about its genesis. The people need to get used to their new legal status. Well disciplined *police authority* needs a generation or two for ***conventional wisdom*** to be shaped around new interpretations of what once was "***due process.***"

The original study that propagated this *unlawful* document, *curiously*, was funded by a **Rockefeller** surrogate... the **Spellman Fund**. **Rockefeller** is one of the founders of the privately owned and controlled US Federal Reserve. Benefits accruing daily to his descendants. In 1976 an honest Congressperson spoke up.

---

---

**United States Congressional Record January 19, 1976, page 240, Representative Marjorie S. Holt (Maryland):**

*"Mr. Speaker, many of us recently received a letter from the **World Affairs Council of Philadelphia**, inviting members of Congress to participate in a ceremonial signing of a "Declaration of Interdependence"...*

**[Notice that 46 years after its christening, the word "INTERGOVERNMENTAL" was dropped & INTERDEPENDENCE replaces the word "DEPENDENCE". . The meaning of this "Declaration" changed dramatically in 1976. Originally controls were from within our country. As we now helplessly watch, controls are moving rapidly, albeit unlawfully, without the US. This is NOT what the Founders planned nor what the *People* want. This fraud has been carefully planned to span generations. Read and understand where the bankers are taking**

**Hansen** got back his \$40,000, but wants a lot more. He's asking the House to withdraw its reprimand and pay him for legal fees and lost wages. *"I feel like the guy On Boot Hill with the tombstone that says. "Hanged by Mistake," Hansen said.*

**Along with Congressman Traficant** Congressman Hansen was one of the first members of Congress, to point a finger at the privately owned and controlled US Fed and its **PRIVATE collection agency... the IRS**. In the late 70s he wrote a book called *"To Harass Our People"*. Hansen was the first to get a full scale investigation into the crimes of the IRS against the American people. Outrage over what he discovered played a major role in the subsequent writing and ultimate passage of the 1988 **"Taxpayers Bill of Rights"**. Without the determination of **Congressman Hansen**, by now the IRS would probably be the American equal to the Gestapo. Hansen was concerned about the operation of the **Federal Reserve** and the undue power it exercises over the **National Debt** and Congress. He was planning an investigation into these matters when charges were filed.

### **"Declaration of Intergovernmental Dependence"**

Cloaked behind the chaos of the **Great Depression** those that knew the truth (a *silent & undeclared* US bankruptcy,) understood the immediate need to engage the rest of Congress and many State legislators to become unwitting accomplices and actually believe they were doing something grand for their nation. After all FDR declared an "Emergency". A think tank study conveniently begun circa 1925 and funded by **Rockefeller** was on the shelf and ready to be implemented. The rule of law (our Constitution) came down with a fever and it has never recovered. A new era began and is with us today.

On January 22, 1937, little less than 4 years after FDR closed the banks and confiscated America's privately owned gold, a **Declaration of Intergovernmental Dependence** was signed in Washington, D.C. by *unwitting renegade representatives* of State and local governments. This **Declaration** is published on pages 143 & 144 in The **Book of the States, 1937 edition, Volume II**. It's out in the open. You, your parents nor your children were ever taught about it. Even if the successor traitors to our Constitution are successful in scaring the people enough to permit the transferring of Constitutional authority into *international* hands that will forever eliminate America's unique **Creator endowed rights**, this Declaration probably won't

## **Sheriffs Put Feds On Choke Chains**

By V.N.S. Edited for Publication by Sierra Times- 07.24.00

County Sheriffs in Wyoming are insisting that all federal law enforcement officers and personnel from federal regulatory agencies must clear all their activities in a Wyoming county with the Sheriff's Office.

Speaking at a press conference following the recent **US District Court decision (case No 2:96-cv-099-J) Bighorn County Sheriff Dave Mattis** stated that all federal officials are forbidden to enter his county **without his prior approval**. *"If a sheriff doesn't want the Feds in his county he has the constitutional power, right and responsibility to keep them out, ask them to leave or retain them in custody."*

The court decision came about after Mattis & other members of the Wyoming Sheriffs' Association brought a suit against both the **BATF** and the **IRS** in the Wyoming federal court district seeking restoration of protections of **Creator given rights** enshrined in the US and Wyoming Constitutions respectively.

It must be remembered that unlike the rest of the world, Constitutions in the United States, State and federal, do not **give** rights. The US Government does **NOT** nor should ever give rights to *the People*. US Federal and States Constitutions are written to put a **cage** around governments. Constitutions **protect** rights already possessed by *the People*. **Rights** are endowed on *the People* by their Creator, be it Nature or many a man's god. Birthrights!

This could easily answer the question "...why is there such a concerted effort to remove the symbol of *the People's* deity, any deity, from public view?" Because of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment of the Constitution? So we're led to believe. However, remove the Creator from view, publicly as well as privately, and all rights must flow from the only entity left... **government!** The natural extension of that premise is "*what government gives, government will take away*". That's the antithesis of how the **Founders** instituted this nation.

The District Court ruled in favor of the sheriffs, stating that, *"Wyoming is a sovereign state and the duly elected sheriff of a county is the highest law enforcement official within a county and has law enforcement*

*powers exceeding that of any other state or federal official."* **Unless of course State politicians cave to federal pressure and popularize an appointed Sheriff instead of an elected Sheriff.**

The Wyoming sheriffs are now demanding access to all BATF files to verify that the agency is not violating provisions of Wyoming law that **prohibit the registration of firearms or the keeping of a registry of firearm owners.** The sheriffs are also demanding that federal agencies immediately cease the seizure of private property and the impoundment of private bank accounts without regard to due process in state courts. All requests by federal agencies to seize the property of any Wyoming citizen, must be clearly backed up with all necessary lawful authorities. This includes the IRS even though they are curiously not an Agency of the US government

Sheriff Mattis stated, *"I am reacting to the actions of federal employees who have attempted to deprive citizens of my county of their privacy, their liberty, and their property without regard to constitutional safeguards. I hope that more sheriffs all across America will join us in protecting their citizens from the illegal activities of the IRS, EPA, BATF, FBI, US Marshal Service or any other federal agency that is operating outside the confines of Constitutional law. "Employees of the IRS and the EPA are no longer welcome in Bighorn County unless they intend to operate in conformance to constitutional law."*

Now that citizens are rapidly becoming aware of unlawful federal intrusion **aided and abetted by local authorities**, county governments throughout the nation are at an increased risk of court action. When a federal agency moves to seize a citizens property, it's the local Sheriff that has a clear responsibility to verify the trail of lawful delegation of authorities **to the source legislation.** Just honoring paperwork because it's signed by a **federal** judge or magistrate does not create lawful (Constitutional) authority. Today, there is greater need than ever for competent Constitutional counsel available to municipalities throughout the nation. The American people are waking up.

This case is evidence that the Tenth Amendment is not dead in the United States. It may also be interpreted to mean that political subdivisions of a State are included within the meaning of the amendment, or that the powers exercised by a sheriff are an extension of those **common law** powers which the Tenth Amendment explicitly reserves *to the People*, if they are not granted to the federal government and specifically prohibited to the States.

And you thought Rep. Traficant was tried and convicted by his peers because he had staff work on his boat while on the government's payroll. Think again. **BS!!** He was considered a *loose cannon* with this information and had to be stopped by those *in-the-know* at all costs.

---

## **Vindicated Congressman Hansen**

**The government drove George Hansen, a 7-term veteran us lawmaker out of Congress, sent him to prison and financially destroyed him and his family! It took ten long years, but the U.S. Supreme Court now confirms that federal prosecution of Congressman George Hansen SHOULD NEVER HAVE HAPPENED!**

**Congressman George Hansen** was a seven term congressman from **Idaho** at the time of his prosecution. Ex-Representative Richard Stallings, the Democrat who defeated **Hansen** in 1984, said **the conviction was the key.** *"George could have had the 2nd district as long as he wanted. If he hadn't gotten in trouble, he'd probably be the Chairman of the Banking Committee."*

**Hansen** would be in his 14th term now. *"If you want to play "What if" games, there would have been a lot of things... chairmanships, leaderships..." Hansen said, "But I just don't look back."*

**Hansen's** 1984 conviction cost him his bid for an eighth term in 1984 -- by a mere 170 votes. It also brought incarceration in a federal prison and a \$40,000 fine. In December of 1995, the conviction was vacated by the trial judge in Washington D.C. who managed to delay Hansen's release for another 5 months. Between **"diesel therapy"** and other tortures **at the hands of fellow Americans in the US Marshal Service.** **Hansen** is a shell of what he used to be. All done at the hands of an **allegedly benevolent government** because he threatened to bring attention to our *unlawful* money system. During the weeks and months of **diesel therapy**, the prisoner is out of contact with the rest of the world. In the case of Congressman Hansen, his wife did not know if he was dead or alive. His lawyer could not find him and therefore could not file court papers on time. Even the members of congress who were still trying to help him, could not locate him. During his **diesel therapy** he disappeared into the black hole dug and run by the **United States Marshal Service.**

of the U.S. Treasury. But it has turned out to be the other way around,

## Convicted Congressman Traficant

United States Congressional Record March 17, 1993 Vol. #33, page H-1303 Speaker- Representative James Traficant, Jr. (Ohio) addressing the House:

Mr. Speaker, we are here now in Chapter 11. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any bankrupt entity in world history, **the US government**. We are setting forth, hopefully, a blueprint for our future. There are some who say it is a coroner's report that will lead to our demise.

It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 1, **Public Law** 890719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress session of June 5, 1933 --- Joint Resolution to Suspend the Gold Standard and Abrogate the Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States governmental offices, officers, and departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only.

The receivers of the United States bankruptcy are International bankers, via the United Nations, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. All United States offices, officials and departments are now operating within a *de facto* status in name only under Emergency War powers. With the constitutional Republican form of government now dissolved, the receivers of the bankruptcy had adopted a new form of government for the United States. This new form of government is known as a Democracy, being an established Socialist/Communist order under a new governor for America. This act was instituted and established by transferring and/or placing the Office of the Secretary of Treasury to that of the Governor of the International Monetary Fund. Public Law 94-564, page 8, Section H.R. 13955 reads in part: "The U.S. Secretary of treasury receives no compensation for representing the United States".

## District Courts

Ladies and gentlemen, US Marshals, IRS Agents and county Sheriffs, the US Constitution, yours, mine -- hence ours, **lawfully** created a federal court designed for a very **specific jurisdiction**. This court, the "**District Court of the United States**", is for us -- **the People**. **The People** whose ancestors created this government. **We the People within** the several States. It's known as an Article III *judicial* court. In this court, we **the People** have all the Constitutional protections of our Creator endowed rights in tact.

However, there is another type *lawful* court. That court is Congress's **PRIVATE, legislative** court, the "**United States District Court.**" A court to deal with controversies surrounding the **possessions** or **territories** of the corporate United States -- hence a **PRIVATE** court.

However, in Congress's **PRIVATE legislative** court there are few if any constitutional protections. There's essentially ZERO recognition that a Creator ever existed and that all of man's rights flow exclusively from government. Only rights that the judge in his or her **PRIVATE** discretion wishes to protect will be protected. There are too many cases to enumerate where a defendant is forced by the **US Marshal Service** into Congress's **PRIVATE legislative** court. The poor unwitting defendant, if he attempts to defend himself as a "Pro-se", is routinely threatened by the judge with contempt when he brings up the US Constitution. If the defendant should hire an attorney it's worse. It's no different except now the attorney takes his money and never advises him that this is a **PRIVATE legislative** court controlled by Congress with merely an "administrative law judge". The only thing that changes anything is if the defendant is high profile with press coverage. Nothing will be said in the courtroom to disrupt the popular belief that it's a "**People's** Article III Court".

That's right, you read it clearly. For the last 65 or so years, ever since the *silent and forced* bankruptcy of the corporation known as the United States, in all federal **United States District Courts**, defendants have been threatened for bringing the Constitution into the proceedings. Records of these trials are invariably *sealed* immediately at the request of the judge. Our organization is now gaining access to many of these records, one by one, as obtained from various defendants from their personal transcripts. It's true and it's disgusting. It could happen to any unsuspecting American.

Not only disgusting but **it's the only federal court that defendants are routinely, unwittingly and unlawfully brought into by the US Marshal Service**. Once the unknowing defendant acknowledges the court, tacitly, overtly or otherwise, everything else that follows is *legal* because of his... **consent**. It's a disgrace, supported

institutionally by the Bar Associations and their attorney members. It's also fraud upon the people and should be reviewed for legal action.

It gets worse. When defendants bring this to the attention of the courts and demand **proof of jurisdiction** the court routinely answers with silence or merely denies the motion without any substantiation. Routinely!! Those that believe the system has safeguards, think again. The appellate courts will not hear it, and the Supreme's, one of the very few Article III *judicial* courts in the nation have routinely denied Certiorari. There are ZERO safeguards.

To those that are shaking their heads -- **YES** -- there's not only a difference between these similarly sounding courts -- there's an *immense* difference. Rarely will an American citizen be engaged in a study of this apparently trivial issue. But when he or she is, it's most certainly too late to do a thing about it. At that time it's anything but trivial. As a law enforcement officer however, your curiosity should be aroused: first because you're an American, then if for nothing more -- now that the cat's out of the bag, to avoid **personal liability**.

Remember that Congress has clear *geographical limits*. Hence all **federal law enforcement** must have a clear chain of **lawful delegation of authority** when exercising any *alleged* power outside Congress's 10 square mile **RESTRICTIVE CAGE** erected by the Founders in our Constitution. Do you request a clear chain of **lawful delegation of authority** every time federal officers show up? Have you ever asked for a clear chain of **lawful delegation of authority** from the non-US government Agency IRS?

**Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17 of the US Constitution specifically says ---**

[*The Congress shall have Power...*]  
To exercise **exclusive Legislation [jurisdiction]** in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (**not exceeding ten Miles square**) as may, by Cession of Particular States, **and the Acceptance of Congress**, become the Seat of the Government of the United States and to exercise like Authority over **all Places purchased** by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards and other needful Buildings;

The above is taken **verbatim** from the US Constitution. Emphasis added. Nothing has changed in more than 215 years.

### **Postscript: Why we are where we are today.**

Not for nothing, but if you're like me, you should have great difficulty believing the United States *silently* declared bankruptcy in 1933 and our parents, you/we nor our children were ever taught about it in school. How could this happen? Normally, we have a proclivity to ignore or to dismiss negative information about family or country but this is different. When the stakes today, almost three (3) generations later, are as high as they are, and we can directly associate virtually all of what we see in our daily headlines to our *unlawful (unconstitutional)* money system, the truth, negative or otherwise, takes on a different patina. History, good and especially bad, teaches lessons for future generations. It prevents us from making the same mistake twice. **But we must know it happened.** Our money system is the basis for everything in our nation. (That's why the Founders made such absolute note of how it was to be maintained.) If it's had a catastrophic failure -- a bankruptcy -- why would we continue to leave it under the control of the same swindlers, or their descendants, that bankrupted it? Our families, children and America's future are involved and at risk like never before. Let's take a look at some contemporary statements -- **plus one from 1933**, that are **on the record**.

---

### **The Late Congressman Henry Gonzalez**

United States **Congressional Record** May 4, 1992, page H 2891, Congressman and **CHAIRMAN: Committee on Banking & Finance (101st -- 103rd Congresses), Representative Henry Gonzalez (Texas)** speaking on a subject he was most qualified:

**"National And INTERNATIONAL Thievery In High Places"**

1. "We are **bankrupted**. We are **insolvent** on every level of our national life, whether it is corporate, whether it is just plain you and I out there with the life of debt that we have all piled up, private debt, credit cards and what not, **or whether it is the government. WE ARE INSOLVENT.** How long will it take before that nasty mega-truth is conveyed?"
2. ...the Federal Reserve Board is defined in the Federal Reserve Board Act of 1913 as being the fiscal agent

▪ [TITLE 18--APPENDIX](#)

• INTERSTATE AGREEMENT ON DETAINERS

Public Law L. 91-538, Dec. 9, 1970, 84 Stat. 1397, as amended by Public Law 100-690, title VII, § 7059, Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4403

§ 1. Short title

This Act may be cited as the "*Interstate Agreement on Detainers Act*".

(Pub. L. 91-538, § 1, Dec. 9, 1970, 84 Stat. 1397.)

§ 2. Enactment into law of *Interstate Agreement on Detainers*

The *Interstate Agreement on Detainers* is hereby enacted into law and entered into by the "United States" on its own behalf and on behalf of the **District of Columbia** with all jurisdictions legally joining in substantially the following form:

"The contracting States solemnly agree that:

"Article I

"The party States etc. etc.

"Article II

"As used in this agreement:

"(a) 'State' shall mean

• a State of the United States  
[notice the lack of the word several before the word "State" above.]

- a territory or possession of the United States
- the District of Columbia
- the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

• the United States ...of America

At first the above may seem somewhat innocuous. However, it does beg some fair questions. What kind of "**State**" is the "**United States... of America**"? Where is it located? Who are its Senators and Representatives. Does it have a "Governor"? Is it this *United States of America* that is the plaintiff in many federal cases or is there another? A 51<sup>st</sup> State?

Where is it located?

**United States District Courts**

**VS.**

**District Courts of the *United States***

We begin with one of the great masters of the Constitution, **Chief Justice John Marshall**, writing in the year 1828. Here, Justice Marshall makes a very clear distinction between judicial courts, authorized by Article III, **versus legislative (territorial)** courts, authorized by Article IV. Marshall even utilizes some of the exact wording of Article IV to differentiate those courts from Article III "**judicial power**" courts, as follows:

*"These [territorial] courts then, are NOT Constitutional courts, in which the judicial power conferred by the Constitution on the general government can be deposited. They are INCAPABLE of receiving it. They are legislative courts, created in virtue of the general rights of sovereignty which exists in the government, or in virtue of that clause which enables Congress to make all needful rules and regulations, respecting the territory belonging to the United States. The jurisdiction with which they are invested, is NOT a part of that judicial power which is defined in the 3d article of the Constitution, but is conferred by Congress, in the execution of those general powers which that body possesses over the territories of the United States. Although admiralty jurisdiction can be exercised in the States, in those courts, only which are established in pursuance of the 3d article of the Constitution, the same limitation does NOT extend to the territories. In legislating for them, Congress exercises the combined powers of the general and of the State government."*

[American Insurance Co. v. 356 Bales of Cotton] [1 Pet. 511 (1828), emphasis added]

Though the judicial system set up in a Territory of the United States is a part of federal jurisdiction, the phrase "**court of the United States**", when used in a federal statute, is generally construed as **NOT** referring to "**territorial courts.**" See Balzac v. Porto Rico, 258 U.S. 298 at 312 (1921), 42 S.Ct. 343, 66 L.Ed. 627. In Balzac, the high Court stated:

*The United States District Court is NOT a true United States court established under Article III of the Constitution to administer the judicial power of the United States therein conveyed. It is created by virtue of the sovereign congressional faculty, granted under Article IV, Section 3, of that instrument,*

of making **all needful rules and regulations** respecting the territory **BELONGING** to the United States. The resemblance of its jurisdiction to that of **true** United States courts in offering an opportunity to nonresidents of resorting to a tribunal not subject to local influence, does **NOT** change its character as a **mere territorial court**.

[Balzac v. Porto Rico, 258 U.S. 298 at 312]  
[42 S.Ct. 343, 66 L.Ed. 627 (1921)]

Constitutional provision against diminution of compensation of federal judges was designed to secure independence of judiciary.

[O'Donoghue v. U.S., 289 U.S. 516 (1933)] [headnote 2. Judges]

The term "**District Courts of the United States**," as used in Criminal Appeals Rules, **without an addition expressing a WIDER connotation**, had its historic significance and described courts created under article 3 of Constitution, and did **NOT include territorial courts**.

[Mookini et al. v. U.S., 303 U.S. 201] [headnote 2. Courts, emphasis added]

Where statute authorized Supreme Court to prescribe Criminal Appeals Rules in **District Courts of the United States** including named territorial courts, omission in rules, when drafted, of reference to **District Court of Hawaii**, and certain other of the named courts, indicated that Criminal Appeals Rules were **NOT** to apply to those [latter] courts.

[Mookini et al. v. U.S., 303 U.S. 201] [headnote 4. Courts, emphasis added]

The following paragraph from Mookini is extraordinary for several reasons: (1) it refers to the "**historic and proper sense**" of the term "**District Courts of the United States**", (2) it makes a key distinction between such courts and application of their rules to territorial courts; (3) the application of the maxim **inclusio unius est exclusio alterius** is obvious here, namely, the omission of territorial courts clearly shows that they were intended to be omitted:

Not only did the promulgating order use the term **District Courts of the United States** in its historic and proper sense, but the omission of provisions for the application of the rules to the territorial courts and other courts mentioned in the authorizing act clearly shows the limitation that was intended.

[Mookini et al. v. U.S., 303 U.S. 201] [emphasis added]

The words "**district court of the United States**" commonly describe constitutional courts created under Article III of the

## Legally -- What Is the "United States... Of America"?

Since every written word in a legal proceeding has **precise** meaning, What is the **precise** definition of the term/entity "United States of America?" In Title 18, appendix, *Interstate Agreement On Detainers*, (seen next at the inset) the United States of America is clearly defined as a... State. Where is it? When did this happen? Is it the 51<sup>st</sup> State?

Until approximately the beginning of the era of *Income* taxes (Normal tax) and the startup of the **privately owned US Federal Reserve**, 12.23.13, and subsequent *silent* US bankruptcy 20 years later, virtually all government actions brought in Federal Courts were brought by the **lawful (Constitutional) Plaintiff** known as the "*United States*." The "*United States*" as plaintiff, since the *silent* bankruptcy of the corporation known as the United States, has since disappeared. Why? Actually *began* to disappear **before** 1925. Why? Did someone know about the upcoming bankruptcy **BEFORE** 1933? ☺

This information is rapidly moving into the public arena. Watch closely as political suggestions to **eliminate the IRS** accelerate in the coming months (2004). The goal will be to hide the truth -- rather hide the *evidence*. Unfortunately, for the nation, it's too late. The cat's been out of the bag on much of what you've read here for more than a year. While you won't read about it in the papers, thousands of Americans now want to know now why their grandparents, uncles and many of their families have suffered at the hands of an organization **that was never what they were led to believe**. Never an *agency* of the United States government. People have killed and or committed suicide because of the IRS. Millions of lives have been adversely changed. Thousands upon thousands of farms and millions of acres of farmland, tens of thousands of homes and billions of dollars of personal property have been confiscated. Thousands of our fellow Americans have been incarcerated by the IRS, virtually always facilitated **under color of law** by an unwitting local Sheriff or the **US Marshals Service**. Why? There is an answer, a short one towards the end of this brief overview. The greater details are not within the scope of this small pamphlet. The absolute **facts** surrounding the fraud will be detailed in a new book...

## **1913-The Year THEY Stole America**

...due to be released later in 2004

which Congress had **exclusive legislative authority**"  
[Emphasis added] [Downes v. Bidwell, 182 US 244]

"Special provision is made in the Constitution for the cession of jurisdiction from the States over places where the Federal government shall establish forts or other military works. And it is in these places, or in territories of the United States, where it can exercise a general jurisdiction."

[New Orleans v. United States, 35 US (10 Pet.) 662 (1836)]

"It is well established principle of law that all federal legislation applies **only within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States unless a contrary intent appears**"  
[Emphasis added][Foley Brothers, Inc. v. Filardo, 336 US281]

"Jurisdiction is essential to give validity to the determinations of administrative agencies and **where jurisdictional requirements are not satisfied, the action of the agency is a nullity..**" [Emphasis added]

[City Street Improv Co. v. Pearson, 181 C 640, 185 P. (1962); O'Neil v. Dept. of Professional & Vocational Standards, 7 CA2d 393, 46 P2d 234]

"The law requires proof of jurisdiction to appear on the record of the administrative agency **and all administrative proceedings**" [Emphasis added]

[Hagans v. Lavine, 415 US 533]

"Failure to adhere to agency regulations may amount to **denial of due process**: if regulations are required by Constitution or statute." [Emphasis added]

[Curley v. United States, 791 F. Supp. 52]

"Indeed, on this crucial point, the majority and Justice Breyer agree in principle: the Federal government has nothing approaching a police power."

[United States v. Lopez, No. 93-1260, 115 S. Ct. 1624, 131 L. Ed. 2d 626]

"...the commerce clause...has always been understood as limited by its terms; and as a virtual denial of any power to interfere with the internal trade and business of the separate states"

[United States v. DeWitt, 76 US41 9 Wall 4, 19 L. Ed 593]

Constitution, not the legislative courts which have long been the courts of the Territories.

[Int'l Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union et al. v. Juneau Spruce Corp.

342 U.S. 237 (1952)] [emphasis added]

The phrase "**court of the United States**", without more, means solely courts created by Congress under Article III of the Constitution and not territorial courts.

[Int'l Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union et al. v. Wirtz, 170 F.2d 183 (9th Cir. 1948), headnote 1] [emphasis added]

**United States District Courts** have only such jurisdiction as is conferred by an Act of Congress under the Constitution. [U.S.C.A. Const. art. 3, sec. 2; 28 U.S.C.A. 1344] [Hubbard v. Ammerman, 465 F.2d 1169 (5th Cir., 1972)] [headnote 2. Courts]

The **United States District Courts** are **NOT** courts of general jurisdiction. They have **NO JURISDICTION** except as prescribed by Congress pursuant to Article III of the Constitution.

[many cites omitted] [Graves v. Snead, 541 F.2d 159 (6th Cir. 1976)] [emphasis added]

The question of jurisdiction in the court either over the person, the subject-matter or the place where the crime was committed can be raised at any stage of a criminal proceeding; **it is never presumed, but must ALWAYS be proved**; and it is **never waived** by a defendant.

[U.S. v. Rogers, 23 F. 658 (D.C.Ark. 1885)]

In a criminal proceeding lack of subject matter jurisdiction **CANNOT** be waived and may be asserted at any time by collateral attack.

[U.S. v. Gernie, 228 F.Supp. 329 (D.C.N.Y. 1964)]

Jurisdiction of court may be challenged at any stage of the proceeding, and also may be challenged after conviction and execution of judgment by way of writ of habeas corpus.

[U.S. v. Anderson, 60 F.Supp. 649 (D.C.Wash. 1945)]

The **United States District Court** has only such jurisdiction as Congress confers.

[Eastern Metals Corp. v. Martin] [191 F.Supp 245 (D.C.N.Y. 1960)]

## **United States Government Attorneys Deny that the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Is An Agency of United States Government.**

The following images are scanned **originals** of pleadings (certified by the **National Archives and Records Administration, NARA**) wherein a United States Attorney and a United States Department of Justice Trial Attorney, Tax Division, have been carefully maneuvered by Plaintiff's counsel into acknowledging what has long been believed, and now confirmed, to be the truth.

The US Attorney for the government, **Betty H. Richardson**, was left no choice by **stipulation** but to **deny** that the Internal Revenue Service (**IRS**) is an **agency** of the United States Government. Intelligent law enforcement officials should question this **recorded** affidavit inasmuch as the IRS, as an institution, has such a far reaching impact on the day to day lives of every American and their respective families. The **IRS** is also a primary reason, if not THE primary reason that the **US Marshal Service unlawfully** enters one of the *several* States and apprehends fellow Americans. See specifically page 2 of the pleadings below, **Item #4**.

The allegation made by counsel for Diversified Metal Products, Inc., the **Plaintiff**, that forced the **Defendant**, through its attorney, US Attorney Betty Richardson, into confirming the truth in item number 4 reads as follows;

*"Defendant **Internal Revenue Service (IRS)**, is an agency of the United States government which has presented to Plaintiff a lien against monies to which Defendant Steve Morgan, or presumably [sic] Defendant T-Bow Company Trust for him, may be entitled."*

captive in their respective roles. Here's a classic case of what you don't know **will definitely hurt you**.

Not for overkill, but the IRS deserves extra effort. Let's look into another area of **Federal Regulations** in **Title 4**.

### **Title 4 -- Flag and Seal, Seat Of Government, and the States**

#### **72. Public offices; At Seat Of Government.**

**All offices attached to the seat of government shall be exercised in the District of Columbia, and not elsewhere, except as otherwise expressly provided by law.**

The law is the law. Find it. State it. Sheriff's offices throughout the nation have a growing concern about the potential for litigious citizens who are becoming more aware of the laws and lack thereof. Many Sheriffs are now requiring that federal authorities present all **lawful** (Constitutional) delegation of **authorities** simultaneously with their attendant paperwork before aiding and abetting what has heretofore been done *under color of law* to a fellow State citizen.

We now know that unless **lawful jurisdiction** can be clearly ascertained, the signature of a federal judge or magistrate<sup>4</sup> is merely a *distraction* and carries ZERO **lawful** weight within the *several* States. The local Sheriff becomes merely an accomplice to an *unlawful* act. As such he/she may find himself in a State action, civil and/or criminal. He or she assumes **personal liability** at worst and at best the local county government will be liable. These are dangerous waters.

The Supreme Court has spoken eloquently about Federal jurisdiction in the territories vs within the *several* States.

*"The laws of Congress in respect to those matters {outside of Constitutionally delegated powers} do not extend into the territorial limits of the States, but **have force only in the District of Columbia, and other places that are within the exclusive jurisdiction** of the national government."*  
[Emphasis added] [Caha v. United States, 152 US 211]

*"Constitutional restrictions and limitations were not applicable to the areas of land, enclaves, territories and possession over*

<sup>4</sup> Federal magistrates have **lawful** authority only in National Parks.

should also serve as a warning to any law enforcement officer, federal or State, acting under **color of law** on behalf of an entity apparently without *lawful* credentials.

On December 18, 1998, attorney **Michael Bufkin of Dundee, Illinois** sent a *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)* request to the Internal Revenue Service asking for **Documentation Of Authority** for the Department of Justice to defend IRS personnel in civil litigation and/or criminal prosecution. On August 2, 1999, **Leslie Hayward**, a **Disclosure Program Assistant in the IRS** national office, answered Bufkin as follows: (Notice almost 8 months before a reply.)

*"A search was performed with the Office of Tax Crimes (Criminal Investigation) and with the Assistant Chief Counsel (Disclosure Litigation) and we have NO documents responsive to your request. However, you may forward a copy of your request to the U.S. Attorney General's Office within the DOJ."*

In September, Bufkin sent the request to the Department of Justice, then on January 11, 2000, **Thomas J. McIntyre, Chief of the Department of Justice Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Unit**, made the following response:

*"We have conducted a search of the appropriate indices to Criminal Division records and did not locate any records responsive to your request."*

### **DOJ Cannot Lawfully Defend IRS Personnel**

Internal Revenue Service personnel **operating outside their lawful boundaries**, constitute an endangered species. It might be necessary to roll them in sand to reduce the slime factor, but once you get hold well enough to usher them to jail or **sue them in civil court**, the Department of Justice and U.S. Attorneys have to watch from a respectful distance. IRS personnel are agents of a government FOREIGN to the "United States", and according to Leslie Hayward of the IRS and Mr. Thomas J. McIntyre of the DOJ, they do NOT have LAWFUL access to government-funded defense. The IRS personnel are quite literally agents of a *foreign* government apparently invading the *several* States of the Union. The fraud is beginning to crumble. As more and more of the IRS and DOJ personnel realize their personal exposure, it'll take more than pensions and greed to keep them



BETTY H. RICHARDSON  
United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
Box 32  
Boise, Idaho 83707  
Telephone: (208) 334-1211

RICHARD R. WARD  
Trial Attorney, Tax Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 683  
Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044-0683  
Telephone: (202) 307-5867

Attorneys for the United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
DISTRICT OF IDAHO

DIVERSIFIED METAL PRODUCTS, )  
INC., )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) Civil No. 93-405-E-EJL  
T-BOW COMPANY TRUST, INTERNAL ) UNITED STATES' ANSWER AND CLAIM  
REVENUE SERVICE, and STEVE )  
MORGAN, )  
Defendants. )

The United States of America, through undersigned counsel  
hereby responds to the numbered paragraphs of plaintiff's  
complaint as follows:

1. The United States is without information or knowledge  
sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations  
contained in paragraph 1 and, on that basis, denies the  
allegations.

UNITED STATES ANSWER AND CLAIM - 1

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO  
BOISE, IDAHO  
JUL 11 1993

9393990P.ANS

2. The United States is without information or knowledge  
sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations  
contained in paragraph 2 and, on that basis, denies the  
allegations.

3. The United States is without information or knowledge  
sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations  
contained in paragraph 3 and, on that basis, denies the  
allegations.

4. Denies that the Internal Revenue Service is an agency  
of the United States Government but admits that the United States  
of America would be a proper party to this action. Admits that  
the IRS has served a Notice of Levy on plaintiff for funds owed  
to defendant Steve Morgan.

5. Admits that the IRS has made a demand on plaintiff for  
payment of funds owed to Steve Morgan. The United States is  
without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as  
to the truth of the remaining allegations, and, on that basis,  
denies the remaining allegations.

6. Admits that Exhibits A and B are attached and are  
respectively, a copy of a letter from Lonnie Crockett and a copy  
of a Notice of Levy served by the IRS.

7. The United States is without information or knowledge  
sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations  
contained in paragraph 7 and, on that basis, denies the  
allegations.

UNITED STATES ANSWER AND CLAIM - 2

Additionally, pay close attention to Ms. Richardson's *second* point in #4 shown above. She further stipulates that the **United States of America IS** the "...proper party to this action". On the surface not much appears to be out of place. That is until we ask "*what is the "United States of America?"*" Once you understand what's shown in this exhibit, the next two (2) paragraphs will make more sense. They