

TRULINCS 44202086 - MODELESKI, MITCHELL PAUL - Unit: SPG-G-P

FROM: 44202086

Case No. #2:14-CR-00027-NDF-2

TO: Brown, Thomas; Guenette, Edward; Mullen, Jack; Saccato, Larry

SUBJECT: authorization to terminate Harris & Harris, P.C.

DATE: 10/06/2014 08:25:02 PM

- COPY
FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF WYOMING
NOV 3 PM 2 22
STEPHAN HARRIS, CLERK
CHEYENNE

Dear Larry,

After careful and deliberate reflection, I now think it best that you inform Terry J. Harris that I no longer need or want any legal services or professional counsel from him, or from any other personnel associated with Harris & Harris, P.C., whether licensed or not.

If my experience and expertise were not now in demand at the USMCFP Law Library, I might have time to enumerate here the several different reasons for my decision above.

You should know that, upon arriving at USMCFP/Springfield, a "psychologist" here tried to tell me that my lawyer agreed with the "delusional diagnosis".

More than anything else, Terry's recent threat to obstruct my future Court pleadings is further compelling evidence of his serious conflicts of interest; he quite zealously defends the status quo instead of zealously defending me.

During my last conversation with him before leaving Gering, Nebraska, I specifically remember his statement to the effect that I have retained my Right to continue filing pleadings at the USDC in Cheyenne, Wyoming.

On the merits, the U.S. Supreme Court has previously ruled that the Petition Clause in the First Amendment is the Right conservative of all other rights [cite omitted]:

PM
supremelaw.org/ref/whuscons/whuscons.htm#1st-amend

As such, I am required to take very seriously the very probable negative consequences and personal injuries I will surely suffer if Terry decides to follow through with that threat. Here, see 42 USC 1986 (neglect to prevent), and 18 USC 242 (Federal misdemeanor).

See e.g.:
Thomas v. Collins, 323 U.S. 516 (1945); Chambers v. Baltimore & O. R. Co., 207 U.S. 142 (1907)!

PM

Accordingly, as the Interim Trustee of my entire private estate until further notice, I hereby authorize you formally to DEMAND that Terry J. Harris withdraw from my defense immediately.

I believe it would also be a good gesture if you would also communicate a courtesy copy of your DEMAND to the independent psychiatrist whom Terry has retained.

Allow me to suggest that you send that courtesy copy via U.S. Mail, to minimize reprisals and other negative feedback which you neither deserve nor need.

Thank you again, Larry, for all you have done to assist me after my unlawful arrest on January 28, 2014 A.D.

Sincerely yours,

- / of 2 -

TRULINCS 44202086 - MODELESKI, MITCHELL PAUL - Unit: SPG-G-P

- COPY -

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell



Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S. (chosen name)

All Rights Reserved (cf. UCC 1-308)

p.s. See also Headnote from
In re Telfair, 745 F. Supp. 536 (USDC/DNJ 2010);
U.S. v. Craven, 239 F.3d 91, 102-103
 (1st Cir. 2001) (re: "pregnant with problematic
 possibilities"); U.S. v. Cross, 128 F.3d 145
 (3rd Cir. 1997) (re: court personnel 18 U.S.C. 241
 conspiracy); U.S. v. Holmes, 893 F.2d 970
 (8th Cir. 1990) (inmate able to function in
 general prison population); Jackson v. Indiana,
 406 U.S. 715, 738 (1972); Perrotta v.
Irizarry, 430 F. Supp. 1274 (USDC/SDNY 1977)
 (re: selective prosecution: compare MOTION FOR
 CLARIFICATION at supremelaw.org/cc/felt/);
Neilson v. Union Bank, 290 F. Supp. 2d 1101
 (USDC/CDCA 2003) (re: judicial notice);
Hammond v. Northland Counseling CTR.,
 218 F.3d 886 (8th Cir. 2000) (re: damages
 for emotional distress under 31 USC 3730(c));
 IRC 7623(b) (re: awards to whistleblowers);
U.S. ex rel. Madden v. General Dynamics Corp.,
 4 F.3d 827 (9th Cir. 1993) (re: agents of the United States)

TRULINCS 44202086 - MODELESKI, MITCHELL PAUL - Unit: SPG-G-P

FROM: 44202086

Case No. #2: 14-CR-00027-NDF-2

TO: Brown, Thomas; Guenette, Edward; Mullen, Jack; Saccato, Larry

SUBJECT: redo Legal Ethics > Professional Conduct > ...

DATE: 10/22/2014 02:22:10 PM

- COPY -

Legal Ethics > Professional Conduct > General Overview

As a representative of clients, a lawyer must zealously assert the client's position under the rules of the adversary system.

In all professional functions, a lawyer should be competent, prompt and diligent.

A lawyer should pursue a matter on behalf of a client despite opposition, obstruction or personal inconvenience to the lawyer, and take whatever lawful and ethical measures are required to vindicate a client's cause or endeavor.

PM

A lawyer must act with commitment and dedication to the interests of the client and with zeal in advocacy upon the client's behalf.

Perhaps no professional shortcoming is more widely resented than procrastination.

-- In re Telfair, 745 F.Supp. 536 (USDC/DNJ 2010) (re: "PFP")

p.s. See also Igartua v. United States, 654 F.3d 99 (1st Cir. 2011) and Igartua v. United States, 626 F.3d 592, 624 (re: "not self-executing" Declaration, ICCPR ratification); Executive Order No. 13132 of Aug. 4, 1999 64 Fed. Reg. 43255 (re: "United States of America" individually or collectively); Robertson v. General Electric Co., 32 F.2d 495 (4th Cir. 1929) (re: different principle is established); V.S. v. Stuart, 489 U.S. 353 (1989) (Scalia concurring); Cf. "citizen suit" and "private-attorney-general doctrine", Black's Law Dictionary, 9th Edition; Ryder v. U.S., 515 U.S. 177 (1995) (constitutional validity of officer's appointment); V.S. v. Gigax, 605 F.2d 507 (10th Cir. 1979) and Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800 (1982) (re: judge's impartiality and neutrality); Johnson v. Manhattan R. Co., 289 U.S. 479 (1933) (re: quo warranto); 28 USC 2241(e)(3)

Modelski, M.P. (given name)

44202-086
Medical Center for Federal Prisoners
P.O. Box 4000
Springfield, Missouri 65801-4000

SPRINGFIELD MO 658

27 OCT 2014 PM 21



Re:

#2:14-CR-00027-NDF-2
aka 14-CR-27-F

TO: Office of Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court (D.WY)
2120 Capitol Ave, 2nd Floor
Cheyenne 82001
Wyoming, USA 82001

All Rights Reserved
(Cof. VCC 1-308)

82001365581

