

**FORMAL REQUEST FOR REFERRAL TO  
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO: Hon. Jeff Sessions  
Office of the Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington 20530-0001  
District of Columbia, USA

FROM: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;  
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: [18 U.S.C. 1964](#); and,  
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),  
Federal Civil False Claims Act: [31 U.S.C. 3729](#) *et seq.*

DATE: April 14, 2017 A.D.

SUBJECT: Administrative Tort Claim to Federal Bureau of Prisons  
(hereinafter "[BOP Tort Claim](#)")

Greetings Attorney General Sessions:

I am writing specifically to request formal referral of my pending [BOP Tort Claim](#) to the most appropriate alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") option, as fully authorized by Acts of Congress including but not limited to [28 U.S.C. section 2672](#) (*to settle any tort claim against the United States*).

I also write to make a record of facts which call for the conclusion that my **BOP Tort Claim has been and continues to be obstructed**, resulting in further tortious damages to my good name and estate.

Very briefly, after submitting a series of [FOIA Requests](#), beginning November 2014, for the U.S. OPM Standard Form 61 ("SF-61") APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS for all BOP personnel, not one valid SF-61 has been disclosed to date, despite written acknowledgments we have received from various BOP "disclosure officers".

In particular, all hirelings in the Office of the Director, and Regional Counsels in two (2) other BOP offices, have all entirely failed to disclose any evidence of that mandatory credential.

That discovery motivated the filing of a formal COMPLAINT ALLEGING FAILURE OF DOJ EMPLOYEE TO PROVIDE RIGHTS TO A CRIME VICTIM UNDER THE CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS ACT OF 2004 ("[CVRA COMPLAINT](#)"). A copy of the latter is [attached](#) with an extensive list of [Exhibits](#).

As such, the latter hirelings are now suspected of committing multiple acts of mail fraud, impersonation and racketeering, in violation of the Federal criminal statutes at [18 U.S.C. 1341](#), [912](#) and [1962](#), respectively. Please know that mail fraud is also defined as a RICO "predicate act" at [18 U.S.C. 1961](#); and, any two (2) such predicate acts are defined as a pattern of racketeering activity at [1961\(5\)](#).

In order to minimize unnecessary postage expenses and printing costs, please feel free to access the Internet versions of all relevant [documents](#), which are easily accessible at their corresponding URLs.

## Executive Recommendation

Given the painfully obvious delays and well documented obstructions which have now occurred with all five (5) of my pending [Federal Tort Claims](#) (U.S. DOJ Standard Forms 95), I now believe that arbitration, mediation or other alternative dispute resolution technique should be authorized for my [BOP Tort Claim](#), in a prompt delegation from the Attorney General to a fair and impartial "neutral" as presently defined by Act of Congress at [5 U.S.C. 573](#).

We also take this opportunity to object to any and all DOJ policy(s) which presently require formal litigation to be commenced BEFORE any ADR options can be invoked.

**In our professional opinion, such policies appear to defeat the stated intent of Congress to provide damaged parties with practical alternatives to expensive and time-consuming litigation.**

In this context, please refer in particular to the Congressional Findings as stated in the [Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996](#), Public Law 104-320, 110 Stat. 3870, October 19, 1996, to wit:

*The Congress finds that --*

*(1) administrative procedure ... is intended to offer a prompt, expert, and inexpensive means of resolving disputes as **an alternative to litigation in the Federal courts** ....*

[bold emphasis added]

Thank you very much, Attorney General Sessions, for your prompt decision to refer this matter to the most appropriate ADR option, pursuant to the intent of Congress expressed at [28 U.S.C. 2672](#) and in the [Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996](#) *supra*.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell

Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;  
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: [18 U.S.C. 1964](#); and,  
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),  
Federal Civil False Claims Act: [31 U.S.C. 3729](#) *et seq.*

**All Rights Reserved** (cf. UCC 1-308  
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308>)

Cc: Trustee, Estate of Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.

Enclosures:

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/four.principals.nine.accessories.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/usps.tracking.9505515456176187003657.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/SF-95.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/page03.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/page04.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/exhibits.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/certificate.of.mailing.2017-02-24.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/letter.2017-04-10/email.2017-04-10.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/letter.2017-03-09/request.for.adr.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/cvra/letter.2017-04-03/cvra.complaint.suppl.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/civil2/foia7/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/baime/foia.request.baime.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/kane/nad.affidavit.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/kane/foia.request.kane.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/stroble/foia.request.stroble.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/winter/foia.request.winter.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/winter/letter.2017-03-15/refusal.for.cause.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/winter/letter.2017-03-07/refusal.for.cause.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/wong/foia.request.wong.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/wong/nad.certificate.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.bop/letter.2017-02-21/email.2017-02-21.htm>