

**NOTICE OF SPECIFIC INTENT AND
STANDING OBJECTION TO OBSTRUCTION
OF PENDING FEDERAL TORT CLAIM**

TO: Hon. Steven T. Mnuchin
Secretary of the Treasury
Department of the Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington 20220
District of Columbia, USA

FROM: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party

DATE: March 13, 2017 A.D.

SUBJECT: obstruction of Federal Tort Claim: U.S. DOJ Standard Form 95

Greetings Secretary Mnuchin:

The *IRS Targeting Scandal*, also known as the *Lois G. Lerner Scandal*, did target individuals, and **I am one of individuals who was targeted!**

Enclosed please find printed documentation, and numerous Internet links to additional documentation, as a partial set of evidence indicating probable obstruction of my proper Federal Tort Claim, U.S. DOJ Standard Form 95, duly signed in original on July 1, 2016 A.D. and timely mailed via Priority U.S. Mail on July 5, 2016 A.D.

STANDING OBJECTIONS

I must flatly and emphatically object to the painfully obvious pattern that has now emerged in the behavior of all suspects identified in the enclosed documentation.

They now appear to be "gaming" certain statutes of limitation in the Federal Tort Claims Act, expecting to defeat my valid Tort Claim on some "time-out" technicality, all the while they have conspired to commit multiple felony Federal offenses.

For example, see the conclusions reached by attorney Jay Alan Sekulow in his testimony to Congress (copy enclosed) re: conspiracy to violate **18 U.S.C. 241**. Separately we have concluded that the same suspects have also violated **18 U.S.C. 1962(d)** (by conspiring to engage in a pattern of racketeering activities). In this context, please take note of the 10-year discovery window authorized by **18 U.S.C. 1961(5)**.

NOTICE OF SPECIFIC INTENT

In light of the obvious violations of my Fundamental Right to equal protection of the Law, particularly Article VI, Clause 3 in the U.S. Constitution and all Acts of Congress which have implemented that Clause, kindly accept this communication as ACTUAL NOTICE of my specific intent to INVOICE the Treasury of the United States for the sum certain of sixteen million five hundred thousand U.S. Dollars (\$16,500,000.00) showing on the enclosed copy of my Standard Form 95.

**OBJECTION TO DOJ POLICY(S) REQUIRING LITIGATION
BEFORE ADR OPTIONS CAN BE EXPLORED:
5 U.S.C. 573; 28 U.S.C. 2672**

See also the Acts of Congress defining "neutral" at 5 U.S.C. 573, and authorizing arbitration, mediation and other alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") techniques at 28 U.S.C. 2672 (*to settle any tort claim against the United States*).

We hereby also lodge a formal objection to any existing DOJ policy, set by former Attorney General Janet Reno, which requires litigation to be commenced BEFORE any ADR options can be explored. Such a policy obviously defeats the clear meaning of the word "alternative" and the clear legislative intent of the latter two (2) Acts of Congress.

Thank you, Treasury Secretary Mnuchin, for your timely and continuing professional consideration in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell

Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: 18 U.S.C. 1964; and,
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),
Federal Civil False Claims Act: 31 U.S.C. 3729 *et seq.*

All Rights Reserved (cf. UCC 1-308
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308>)

p.s. To conserve paper and minimize shipping bulk, please access available Internet links for extensive supplemental documentation.

Copies:

Office of President Donald J. Trump; and,
Office of Attorney General Jeff Sessions

Enclosures (as briefly mentioned above)