

Lynda Wall
P O Box 32009
Long Beach, California 90832-2009

April 21, 2005

Public Corruption Complaint
Federal Bureau of Investigation
11000 Wilshire Blvd.
Los Angeles, Calif. 90024-3672

Re: Demand for Investigation of Criminal Corruption at the United States District Court -
Los Angeles, California
Subject: Case No. CV 04-053252-DDP (MANx)

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am expecting your full support, protection and defense against the rogue federal officers and federal employees of the above-noted court who are engaged in criminal acts and a pattern of racketeering activity.

As a California Sovereign who is a Qualified federal witness and victim, I demand an immediate racketeering investigation to ascertain their criminal acts and stop the principals from abusing their power under color of law. The principals are not acting as required by state and federal law. The principals are not acting consistent with due process of state and federal Constitutions.

Verified complaints of misconduct and incompetence have been filed with the appropriate agencies against the following principals (copies available upon request):

1. Dean D. Pregerson (judge) employed at USDC-Central District/Western Division in Los Angeles, CA.;
2. Debra W. Yang, Thomas D. Coker, Sandra R. Brown (attorneys) employed with the U.S. Department of Justice at the federal building in Los Angeles, CA.; and
3. Gregory E. Van Hoey (attorney) employed with the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, DC
4. Shereen Hawkins, (Revenue Agent ID Badge # 33-05429) employed at the IRS post in Santa Ana, CA;

Documentary material has been entered into the court records that substantiates my claims of criminal wrong doing by the principals under the following:

- A. *Title 18 Crimes and Criminal Procedure:*

1. *Title 18 § 241*: conspiracy against rights; and
2. *Title 18 § 242*: criminal use of the mails to threaten deprivation of rights under color of law; and
3. *Title 18 § 912*: research shows Dean D. Pregerson has been impersonating a federal officer. No requisite documents authorizing him to preside over any federal court have been located; and
4. *Title 18 § 1341*: criminal use of the mails to threaten and extort by coercive incarceration and through fraudulent, unlawful means to obtain information or tangible property; and
5. *Title 18 § 1503*: the federal employees and federal officers have conspired to impede, pervert and obstruct the due administration of justice; and,
6. *Title 18 § 1512*: tampering with a witness; and
7. *Title 18 § 1513*: retaliating against a witness; and
8. *Title 18 § 1581-1588*: threatening involuntary servitude (coerced incarceration)
9. *Title 18 § 1951*: the federal employees and federal officers have conspired to interfere with and influence the performance of my mainstream duties through coerced compliance; and

B. *Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1961-1968* against a Qualified federal witness and victim:

1. *Title 18 § 1961(1) racketeering activity*: the federal officers and employees have threatened arrest (without the court's jurisdiction or lawful due process) which amounts to kidnapping and false imprisonment; and
2. *Title 18 § 1961(5) pattern of racketeering activity*: ongoing fraud and conspiracy for more than 18 months; and
3. *Title 18 § 1962*: federal officers and federal employees have violated prohibited activities, since the principals unlawfully derive income from a pattern of racketeering activity
4. *Title 18 § 1963*: criminal penalties shall be imposed the principals for violating § 1962 above.

The above-noted principals have violated their oaths as federal officers and federal employees to uphold and defend the Constitution for the United States of America. The principals have a history of promoting and exercising a substantial loss or impairment of property and freedoms of expression resulting from nuisance lawsuits and vexatious litigation.

The principals usurp their federal authority by encroaching upon the lands and The People subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California Sovereignty.

The principals (who lack subject matter jurisdiction over California sovereigns) have engaged in and continue to engage in a pattern or practice of using excessive force against men and women.

The principals' threats to enforce compliance are not made with any expectation of securing valid convictions, but rather are part of a plan to employ arrests, seizures, and threats of prosecution

under color of law to harass men and women to discourage them and their supporters from asserting and attempting to vindicate their state and federal constitutional rights.

The principals' threats to enforce compliance are a part of a plan to employ arrests, seizures and threats of prosecution under color of law to harass men and women to discourage them and their supporters from asserting and attempting to vindicate their state and federal civil rights.

This use of excessive force includes but is not limited to: use of excessive force against men and women who are carrying out a routine activity and either have not committed any crime, or infraction, or petty offense.

The principals (who lack subject matter jurisdiction over California sovereigns) have engaged and continue to engage in a pattern or practice of falsely arresting and charging men and women

These false arrests and charges include, but are not limited to: a) falsely arresting or charging persons who are believed likely to disagree or complain about the principals' misconduct; and b) falsely arresting or charging men and women who behave or speak in a manner that is perceived by a federal officer or federal employee to be disrespectful or contemptuous but does not constitute criminal behavior.

The principals (who lack subject matter jurisdiction over California sovereigns) have engaged in and continue to engage in other misbehavior, including, but not limited to conducting searches without lawful authority or in an improper manner.

The government has tolerated the misconduct of their federal employees and federal officers, through its acts or omissions. These acts or omissions include, but are not limited to:

- a. failing to implement a policy on use of force that appropriately guides the actions of its officers and employees;
- b. failing to adequately train its officers and employees to prevent the occurrence of misconduct;
- c. failing to adequately supervise its officers and employees to prevent the occurrence of misconduct;
- d. failing to adequately monitor its officers and employees who engage in or who may be likely to engage in misconduct;
- e. failing to establish a procedure whereby federal witness and victim complaints are adequately investigated;
- f. failing to adequately investigate incidents in which a federal officer or employee uses lethal or non-lethal force;
- g. failing to fairly and adequately adjudicate or review federal witness or victim complaints, and incidents in which a federal officer or employee uses lethal or non-lethal force;
- h. failing to adequately discipline federal employees or officers who engage in misconduct.

The government has engaged in and continues to engage in a pattern of practice of conduct by its federal officers and federal employees that deprives men and women of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by state and federal Constitutions, or the state and federal laws.

The Attorney General is authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 to eliminate a pattern or practice of federal employees and federal officers conduct that deprives men and women of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by state and federal Constitutions, or the state and federal laws.

The principals in this instance should be immediately terminated from employment, impeached, sanctioned, disbarred and prosecuted to the full extent of state and federal law for their criminal acts.

Respectfully,

Lynda Wall, California Sovereign
Qualified federal witness and victim, *Title 18 U.S.C. §1512, 1513*

VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT of Lynda Wall

I, Lynda Wall, *Sui Juris*, hereby solemnly declare and affirm that:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except for those facts known to me on information and belief, and with respect to those facts, I believe them to be true. If called upon and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the following:
2. The verified statements of fact and findings of law, as found in the documents listed and incorporated herein, are true and correct, according to the best of My current information, knowledge and belief, so help me God, pursuant to *28 U.S.C. 1746 (1)*. See Supremacy Clause (§ 1746 is supreme Law).
3. I am a California Sovereign, and a citizen of my birth state (Illinois).
4. I am a natural woman, of flesh and blood.
5. I am subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California Sovereignty.
6. I abide by all laws which are applicable to me, a California Sovereign.
7. I conduct business under the laws of the California Sovereignty and the California Constitution.
8. I pay all taxes for which I am liable, as a California Sovereign.
9. I file all documents and returns for which I am liable, as a California Sovereign.
10. I have not committed any federal crime. I have not inflicted any damage or injury on federal land. There is no federal victim. There is no federal subject matter jurisdiction.
12. I have made my own determination that I am not subject to the federal municipal laws (i.e., internal revenue code and social security) based on my research of the Statutes at Large, the Constitution for the United States of America, and the California Constitution.
13. My research concludes the principals in this matter are acting outside their federal authority and jurisdiction.
14. My research concludes the principals in this matter are unlawfully encroaching upon sovereign land of California.
15. My research concludes the principals in this matter are depriving and violating the civil

rights and fundamental constitutional rights of California Sovereigns.

16. My research concludes Dean D. Pregerson lacks the requisite credentials (4) authorizing him to be a federal judge, or to preside over hearings, or to issue orders, any such process.

17. My research concludes the principals in this matter are engaging in acts against a California Sovereign which clearly constitute violations of state and federal laws.

19. My research concludes the principals in this matter are engaging in acts against a California Sovereign which clearly constitute violations of state and federal constitutions.

20. My research concludes the principals in the matter are engaging in acts against a California Sovereign which clearly constitute violations of state and federal civil rights.

21. My research concludes the principals in this matter are engaging in criminal acts to unduly influence and coerce a California Notary Public into compliance.

22. My research concludes the principals in this matter are engaging in acts to retaliate against me, a Qualified federal witness and victim [*Title 18 §§ 1512, 1513*].

23. My research concludes the principals in this matter are engaged in acts to commit conspiracy to fraud against me, with intent to pervert or obstruct justice.

24. My research concludes the principals in this matter are continuing to engage in acts of public corruption.

25. My research concludes the principals in this matter are continuing to engage in violations of Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”).

26. My research concludes the principals in this matter have clearly documented their misconduct and incompetence.

27. My research concludes the principals in this matter, should be immediately terminated from employment, impeached, sanctioned, disbarred and prosecuted to the fullest extent of state and federal law for their criminal acts.

INCORPORATION OF VERIFIED DOCUMENTS

I, Lynda Wall, hereby incorporate by reference all verified pleadings and verified documents previously filed, and also all verified pleadings and verified documents previously incorporated in the instant case and in *Lynda Wall v. US IRS, et al*, USDC # CV 03-8406 DDP (MAN), Los Angeles, California.

Verification

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Lynda Wall, solemnly affirm and verify, I have read the foregoing, and know its contents to be true to the best of my knowledge, except as to the matters which are therein stated on my information or belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. This instrument is submitted upon good faith effort that is grounded in fact, warranted by existing law for the modification or reversal of existing law and submitted for proper purposes, and not to cause harassment and unnecessary delay or costs, so help me God. See Supremacy Clause (Constitution, Laws and Treaties are all the supreme Law of the Land).

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States of America, without the "United States", that the foregoing is true and correct, per 28 U.S.C. 1746(1). See the Supremacy Clause (Constitution, Laws like 28 U.S.C. 1746, and Treaties are the supreme Law of the Land).


Executed on April 21, 2005.


Lynda Wall, California Sovereign
Qualified federal witness and victim, *Title 18 §§ 1512, 1513*
All Rights Reserved Without Prejudice

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGMENT

California state, Los Angeles county }ss.

On 04/21/2005, before me, Humera Sheikh, Notary Public, personally appeared Lynda Wall, who proved to me on the bases of satisfactory evidence to be the woman whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the same in her authorized capacity, and that by her signature on the instrument the person, or the entity upon behalf of which the woman acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

, Notary Public



PROOF OF SERVICE [CCP 1013a(3)]

California state, Los Angeles county }ss.

I work or live within the aforesaid county. I am over the age of eighteen years. My mailing address is P O Box 32009 Long Beach, CA. 90832-2009.

On 04/21/2005, I served the within document(s) **Demand for Investigation of Criminal Corruption at the United States District Court - Los Angeles, California** Los Angeles, California to the party(s) listed and in the manner described below:

(XX) (BY MAIL) I placed a copy of a said document into a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Public Corruption Complaint
Federal Bureau of Investigation
11000 Wilshire Blvd.
Los Angeles, Calif. 90024-3672

I deposited such envelope(s), with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at Long Beach, California.

() (BY FACSIMILE) I caused such document to be sent via facsimile or machine with fax number 562.983.8603 to each person listed at the following number:

The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003(3), and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2008(e)(4), I caused the machine to print a transmission report of the transmission, a copy of which is attached.

() (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee at:

(XX) (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California state that the above is true and correct.

Executed on 04/21/2005, at Long Beach, California.

E. Zurbriggen